

Diane Roy Director, Regulatory Affairs FortisBC Energy 16705 Fraser Highway Surrey, B.C. V4N 0E8 Tel: (604) 576-7349 Cell: (604) 908-2790 Fax: (604) 576-7074 Email: <u>diane.roy@fortisbc.com</u> www.fortisbc.com

Regulatory Affairs Correspondence Email: <u>gas.regulatory.affairs@fortisbc.com</u>

August 22, 2014

<u>Via Email</u> Original via Mail

Randolph F. Robinson, CPA, CGA 6 – 3109 161st Street Surrey, B.C. V3S 2K4

Dear Mr. Robinson:

Re: FortisBC Energy Utilities (FEU)¹ Common Delivery Rates Methodology Application (the Application) Response to Randolph F. Robinson Information Request (IR) No. 1

On July 16, 2014, the FEU filed the Application as referenced above. In accordance with Commission Order G-105-14 setting out the Regulatory Timetable for the review of the Application, the FEU respectfully submit the attached response to Mr. Robinson's IR No. 1.

If further information is required, please contact the undersigned.

Sincerely,

on behalf of the FORTISBC ENERGY UTILITIES

Original signed:

Diane Roy

Attachments

cc: Commission Secretary Registered Parties (e-mail only)

¹ Comprised of FortisBC Energy Inc. (FEI), FortisBC Energy (Vancouver Island) Inc. (FEVI, and FortisBC Energy (Whistler) Inc. (FEW).



FortisBC Energy Utilities (the FEU or the Companies)	Submission Date:
Application for Approval of Common Delivery Rates Methodology (the Application)	August 22, 2014
Response to Randolph F. Robinson Information Request (IR) No. 1	Page 1

Please provide the most recent segmented financial statements of the individual entities
 before consolidation as reported in FortisBC Holdings Inc. Consolidated Financial
 Statements for the years ended December 31, 2013. In particular, the FortisBC Energy
 Inc., FortisBC Energy (Whistler) Inc., and FortisBC Energy (Vancouver Island) Inc.

Note: I have signed a confidentiality letter reference: FEU ~ Application for Reconsideration and Variance of Commission Order G-26-13 on the FortisBC Energy Utilities' Common Rates, Amalgamation and Rate Design Application

10 **Response:**

11 The requested information is not relevant to the Application and is outside the scope of this 12 proceeding.

13

6

7

8

9

14

15

16 2. Please provide the direct and indirect cost items/components (the name of cost items/components only) that are included in the Delivery Charges.

18

19 Response:

- The requested information is not relevant to the Application and is outside the scope of this proceeding.
- 22
- 23
- 24

25 3. Please provide the treatment of variances in the costing of Delivery Charges.

26

27 Response:

- The requested information is not relevant to the Application and is outside the scope of this proceeding.
- 30
- 31
- 32
- Please provide the Version of SAP operating system presently in use, its operating
 components, and a chronology of upgrades made in the last five years.
- 35



FortisBC Energy Utilities (the FEU or the Companies)	Submission Date:
Application for Approval of Common Delivery Rates Methodology (the Application)	August 22, 2014
Response to Randolph F. Robinson Information Request (IR) No. 1	Page 2

1 Response:

2 The requested information is not relevant to the Application and is outside the scope of this 3 proceeding.

4

5

6

9

- 7 5. Please explain specifically, what approach FEI uses in allocating costs to services,
 8 characterized generally as either "push" or "pull".
- Include in your explanation an example of how externally caused damage claims are
 allocated to delivery charges. Also, given an example of how internally caused damage
 claims to external party's property are allocated to delivery charges.
- 13

14 **Response:**

15 The requested information is not relevant to the Application and is outside the scope of this 16 proceeding.

17