FASKEN

Fasken Martineau DuMoulin LLP Barristers and Solicitors Patent and Trade-mark Agents 550 Burrard Street, Suite 2900 Vancouver, British Columbia V6C 0A3 Canada T +1 604 631 3131 +1 866 635 3131 F +1 604 631 3232

fasken.com

Matthew Ghikas

Direct +1 604 631 3191 Facsimile +1 604 632 3191 mghikas@fasken.com

June 21, 2018

File No.: 240148.00841/14797

By Email

British Columbia Utilities Commission Sixth Floor, 900 Howe Street Vancouver, BC V6Z 2N3

Attention: Mr. Patrick Wruck, Commission Secretary

Dear Sirs/Mesdames:

Re: FortisBC Energy Inc. and City of Surrey Applications for Approval of Terms for an Operating Agreement - Project No.1598915

I am writing to make a correction to FEI's Reply Submission, filed on June 14, 2018.

Surrey's May 31 Final Argument, at paragraph 114, had summarized in a table the City's interpretation of FEI's proposal regarding the definition of "Relocation Costs". In my Reply Submission, I had indicated (at paragraph 53) that the summary was accurate. In reviewing Surrey's table again today, I noticed that Surrey had not referenced "sound engineering practices" in rows 1, 2 and 5 of its table; the table refers to "applicable Laws" and "codes and regulatory standards". By contrast, FEI's proposal, which is summarized in paragraph 138 of FEI's May 31 Final Submission, uses the phrase "applicable Laws and sound engineering practices". Accordingly, I ought to have caveated my concurrence accordingly.

The text of paragraph 53 ought to have stated as follows (additions underlined):

53. Surrey summarized its understanding of FEI's proposal regarding the definition of Relocation Costs in a table in paragraph 114 of Surrey's Final Argument. Surrey indicated that, on the assumption it had understood FEI's proposal correctly, the proposal "is fair in principle, except for one detail". Surrey's summary of FEI's proposal is accurate except to the extent that Surrey has omitted reference to "sound engineering practices". FEI expanded on the implications of the definition of Relocation Costs using particular scenarios in the table included at paragraph 138 of its May 31 Final Submission, which accurately reflects FEI's position on where "sound engineering practices" come into play.

FASKEN

The remainder of FEI's Reply Submission refers to "applicable Laws and sound engineering practices", and thus does not require any correction.

I apologize for my oversight.

Yours truly,

FASKEN MARTINEAU DUMOULIN LLP

Matthew Ghikas

Personal Law Corporation

MG/gd