

Diane Roy Vice President, Regulatory Affairs

Gas Regulatory Affairs Correspondence Email: gas.regulatory.affairs@fortisbc.com

Electric Regulatory Affairs Correspondence Email: <u>electricity.regulatory.affairs@fortisbc.com</u> FortisBC 16705 Fraser Highway Surrey, B.C. V4N 0E8 Tel: (604) 576-7349 Cell: (604) 908-2790 Fax: (604) 576-7074 Email: <u>diane.roy@fortisbc.com</u> www.fortisbc.com

October 5, 2016

Industrial Customers Group c/o #301 – 2298 McBain Avenue Vancouver, BC V6L 3B1

Attention: Mr. Robert Hobbs

Dear Mr. Hobbs:

Re: FortisBC Inc. (FBC)

Project No. 3698889

Application for Acceptance of Demand Side Management (DSM) Expenditures for 2017 (the Application)

Response to the Industrial Customers Group (ICG) Information Request (IR) No. 1

On August 8, 2016, FBC filed the Application referenced above. In accordance with the British Columbia Utilities Commission Order G-135-16 setting out the Regulatory Timetable for review of the Application, FBC respectfully submits the attached response to ICG IR No. 1.

If further information is required, please contact Joyce Martin, Manager Regulatory Affairs at (250) 368-0319.

Sincerely,

FORTISBC INC.

Original signed:

Diane Roy

Attachments

cc (email only): Commission Secretary Registered Parties



2 "As required by the 2015-16 DSM Plan decision (Directive 5), the
3 Company reviewed the 8% discount rate (DR) used in the 2012 LTRP
4 and recent DSM filings, and has updated it to use a 6% DR in the
5 current filing."

- 1.1 Please explain why FBC decided to change the discount rate from 8% to 6%.
 Please identify the discount rates used in the economic analysis of FBC's 5 most recent 5 CPCN applications, and 5 most recent electricity purchase contracts (where a discount rate was used in the economic analysis).
- 10

1

11 Response:

Please refer to the response to CEC IR 1.9.2 for the calculation of FBC's Weighted AverageCost of Capital (WACC).

14 FBC's WACC is generally used as a proxy to Discount Rate (DR) for financial analysis. The pre-

Tax and post-Tax DR for FBC is forecast at approximately 8% and 6% respectively for 2017.
 The post-Tax DR is also analogous to the Company's Allowance for Funds Used During

16 The post-Tax DR is also analogous to the Company's Allowance for Funds Used During 17 Construction (AFUDC) Rate. The 6% post tax DR is appropriate since customers are using

18 post-tax cash flows for their DSM projects.

Please note that since DR has an inverse relationship to Net Present Value (NPV), a higher or
lower proxy of this parameter may be used depending on the nature, risk profile and objective of
any specific analysis.

On the other hand, while evaluating a set of options within a specific project (i.e., a CPCN), the use of a specific DR % is of lesser significance because it will still be possible to determine the relative financial divergence of one specific option over the other, regardless of the DR used in the NPV analysis as long as the same DR value is consistently used for rating all the options within that project.

The table below sets out the DRs used in FBC's five most recent CPCN Applications. Please note that FBC does not typically use DRs in the economic analysis of its Energy Supply

29 Contract (ESC) Applications.

	DR % used in Economic Analysis
Kootenay Operations Centre CPCN Application	6
Advanced Metering Infrastructure CPCN Application	8
CPCN Application for the Purchase of the Utility Assets of the City of Kelowna	N/A
Corra Linn Unit 2 Upgrade and Life Extension CPCN Application	10
Benvoulin Substation Project CPCN Application	10
Application for the Residual Capacity Agreement Electric Tariff Supplement No. 10 and RS 11	8



FortisBC Inc. (FBC or the Company) Application for Acceptance of Demand Side Management (DSM) Expenditures for 2017 (the Application)	Submission Date: October 5, 2016
Response to Industrial Customers Group (ICG) Information Request (IR) No. 1	Page 2

1 2. Reference: Exhibit B-1, Appendix A, page A9

"New in 2016 was the offer of subsidized facility-wide energy
efficiency assessments and detailed feasibility studies to qualifying
industrial customers. The Industrial budget increase is partly to
fund such energy efficiency assessments in 2017. Also the
Industrial incentive rate has been increased to a nominal \$0.15 per
kWh saved by qualifying projects."

- 8 2.1 Please provide details regarding the type of energy efficiency assessments
 9 referred to above, and any communications to industrial customers regarding this
 10 "new offer" in 2016?
- 11

12 Response:

The Industrial Optimization Program was launched in 2016 as a joint initiative between FEI and
 FBC. Two energy assessments options are offered to FBC industrial customers with an annual
 electricity consumption exceeding 3 GWh/year.

16 1. Plant Wide Audit

FBC provides funding towards the cost of having a professional engineer perform a high level, whole facility audit to identify opportunities to use electricity and natural gas more
 efficiently. Energy Conservation Measures (ECMs) identified in the audit will be
 presented in a report that will outline cost and savings estimates at a +/- 50% uncertainty
 level.

22 2. Feasibility Study

FBC provides funding towards the cost of a feasibility study for a specific process or system within an Industrial customer's facility to fully investigate an opportunity to use electricity and natural gas more efficiently. ECMs identified in the study will be presented in a report that will outline cost and savings estimates at a +/- 10% uncertainty level.

Dual-fuel studies are offered to FBC Industrial customers who have an annual electricity
 consumption of at least 3 GWh/year and receive natural gas from FEI with a minimum annual
 consumption of 10,000 GJ/year.

- All qualified FBC customers have been contacted by a FBC Technical Advisor. Program
 collateral was provided, as well as a link to the Industrial Optimization Program website¹.
- 32
- 33

¹ <u>https://www.fortisbc.com/Rebates/RebatesOffers/IndustrialOptimizationProgramPS/Pages/default.aspx</u>



1 2.2 Please provide details regarding the funding available to customers from the offer 2 related to energy efficiency assessments, including the percentage of the total 3 cost of such assessments "subsidized" by FBC and the maximum amount of 4 available funding, if any?

6 **Response:**

For the Plant Wide Audit offer, FBC will pay 75% of the study costs up to a maximum of \$10,000
(for FBC electric-only customers) or \$20,000 (for joint FBC and FEI dual-fuel studies).

9 For the Feasibility Study offer, FBC will pay a maximum of 75% of the energy efficiency study.
10 There is no maximum, but FBC uses discretion to limit the overall cost of the study versus what
11 the potential savings would be from the ultimate energy efficiency project.

- The potential savings would be norm the ditinate energy enterent
- 12

5

- 13
- 14
- 15 2.3 Please confirm that BC Hydro pays 75% of a customer's study costs upon
 16 completion rising to 100% if sufficient measures are implemented within 18
 17 months?
- 18

19 **Response:**

FBC's understanding of BC Hydro's current Energy Efficiency Feasibility Study and Plant Wide Audit offers is that BC Hydro currently pays 75% of a customer's study costs upon study completion, rising to 100% if sufficient measures are implemented within 18 months.

- 23
- 24
- 25
- 26 2.4 Please also provide a comparison of BC Hydro and FBC funding criteria for 27 energy efficiency assessments, and explain any differences?
- 2829 **Response:**

FBC Industrial customers are eligible for the Plant Wide Audit and Feasibility Study programs if their annual aggregate (if more than one plant) consumption exceeds three gigawatt-hours of electricity per year. FBC Industrial customers are eligible for a dual-fuel study, joint with FEI, if they are also FEI customers with annual natural gas consumption exceeding 10,000 GJ annually. Consultants used to conduct the studies must be on the list of FortisBC-approved consultants.

36 FBC understands that BC Hydro industrial customers are eligible for the (i) Plant Wide Audit 37 offer if their annual consumption exceeds two gigawatt-hours of electricity per year, and (ii)



Feasibility Study offer if the customer's system uses more than one gigawatt-hour of electricity
 per year. No dual-fuel study offers are available to BC Hydro industrial customers. Consultants
 must be a part of the BC Hydro Alliance of Energy Professionals

- 3 must be a part of the BC Hydro Alliance of Energy Professionals.
- 4
- 5
- Ŭ
- 6 2.5 Please provide the total number of, and the percent of, industrial customers that 7 have completed an energy efficiency assessment? Also, please provide the 8 totalized 2015 and 2016 (YTD) energy consumption for the group of industrial 9 customers for which energy efficiency assessments have been performed and 10 compare this to the total energy consumption for all industrial customers, and for 11 comparison purposes, the total energy consumption of the other rate classes.
- 12

13 **Response:**

14 The Industrial Optimization Program launched for FBC in Q2 2016. No projects have been 15 completed to date and one project is in the application phase. FBC is working with several 16 other industrial customers who are expected to apply for the program in late 2016 or early 2017.

- 17
- 18
- 19

22

- 202.6Please comment on whether FBC has partnered with FEU to co-fund dual-fuel21studies to further improve the value to qualified customers?
- 23 **Response:**

Please refer to the response to ICG IR 1.2.1. The FBC Industrial Optimization Program is a joint
 program with FEI and customers are able to access dual-fuel Plant Wide Audits and Feasibility
 Studies.

- 27
- 28
- 292.7Please provide the previous Industrial incentive rate that was increased to a30nominal \$0.15 per kWh and the effective date of the increase?
- 31

32 **Response:**

The previous Industrial incentive rate was \$0.10 per annual kWh saved. The proposed effective date for the new nominal rate of \$0.15 per kWh is January 1, 2017, subject to Commission

- 35 acceptance of the Application.
- 36



- 1
- 2 3

2.8 Please provide a comparison of the Industrial incentive rates of BC Hydro and FBC?

- 5 Response:
- 6 FBC provides the following comparison of its proposed incentive rate for industrial customers to

7 BC Hydro's incentive rate for industrial transmission customers as found on BC Hydro's

8 website². The industrial incentive for qualifying projects is the minimum of the following values:

	FBC	BC Hydro
% of project cost	50%	75%
Incentive rate	\$0.15 per kWh for one year of annual electricity savings	\$0.0302* per kWh for total lifespan electricity savings
Payback	amount needed to achieve a two- year payback	N/A

- 9
- 10 *Based on a 10 year project lifespan. Source:
- 11 https://www.bchydro.com/powersmart/business/programs/project-incentives/transmission.html#sample
- 12
- 13
- 14
- 15
- 2.9 Please provide any documents filed with the Commission and any approvals granted by the Commission relevant to the "new offer" to fund energy efficiency 16 17 assessments and to increase to the Industrial incentive rate?
- 18

19 Response:

20 FBC introduced the revised energy assessment offer in conjunction with FEI in order to market 21 a uniform, coordinated offer to its Industrial customers. In addition, the changes are in response

22 to Commission Directives 15 and 17 in its Decision and Order G-186-14 on FBC's 2015-2016

23 DSM Expenditures Application (2015-16 DSM Plan).

24 FBC is seeking Commission acceptance of both the increased budget for additional energy 25 assessment costs and the increased Industrial incentive rate as part of this Application.

- 26
- 27
- 28

² <u>https://www.bchydro.com/powersmart/business/programs/project-incentives/transmission.html#sample.</u>



1 2 3	2.10	Please confirm that FBC expects that the increase to the Industrial incentive rate will increase the take-up rate so as to acquire available resources sooner?
4	Response:	
5 6 7	FBC expects	that an increase to the Industrial incentive rate will increase the take-up rate.
8 9 10 11 12	2.11	Please identify the number of qualified Certified Energy Managers (CEMs) a) employed and b) contracted by FBC? Please describe the process by which FBC vets and accepts contract CEM resources.
13	<u>Response:</u>	
14 15 16		five staff with the Certified Energy Manager (CEM) designation: four Technical one Program Manager. FBC does not currently have any external staff contracted designation.
17 18		
19 20 21 22 23 24	2.12	Please provide details and any relevant documents regarding how FBC qualifies projects for the increase to the \$0.15 per kWh? Is the increase to the Industrial incentive rate available to all customers in that rate class, including pulp producers?
25	<u>Response:</u>	
26 27	Industrial proj (CBEP) ³ .	ects are accepted and vetted through the Custom Business Efficiency Program
28 29 30 31	\$0.15/kWh ind service Indust	mitted and installed after January 1, 2017 will be eligible for the increased centive rate subject to acceptance of the 2017 DSM Plan. It is available to all full trial customers, including pulp producers, and is subject to the principles set out in se to BCUC IR 1.10.3 regarding partial service (self-generation) customers.
32		

- 33
- -
- 34

³ <u>https://www.fortisbc.com/Rebates/RebatesOffers/CustomBusinessEfficiencyProgram.</u>



Please confirm that the BC Hydro nominal incentive rate paid was approximately 1 2.13 2 three times higher than the previous FBC nominal incentive rate? 3 4 Response: 5 FBC understands BC Hydro's industrial incentive rate to be \$0.03/kWh/yr times measure life, 6 which at the maximum of ten years, yields a nominal incentive rate of \$0.30/kWh. 7 FBC's proposed Industrial incentive rate is \$0.15/kWh and would be effective January 1, 2017, subject to acceptance of the 2017 DSM Plan. Previously the Industrial incentive rate was 8 9 \$0.10/kWh. 10 11 12 13 Please compare the current BC Hydro nominal incentive rate with the current 2.14 FBC nominal incentive rate? 14 15 16 Response: 17 Please refer to the response to ICG IR 1.2.8. 18 19 20 21 2.15 Please confirm that in response to an information request in the 2015-2016 DSM 22 expenditures review that FBC said it was willing to consult with the industrial 23 customer class regarding program measures that reduce the amount of electricity 24 supplied to them by FBC. 25 26 Response: 27 Confirmed. The response to ICG IR 1.4.4 in the FBC 2015-16 DSM Plan is reproduced below. 28 4.4 Please comment on whether FortisBC is willing to enter a consultation process with its pulp producer with the objective of a new Power Smart 29 program that will reduce electricity costs for pulp producers in its service 30 31 area? 32 **Response:** 33 Yes, FBC is willing to enter consultations with industrial rate class 34 customers, including its pulp producer, regarding program measures that reduce the amount of electricity supplied to them by FBC. 35



FortisBC Inc. (FBC or the Company) Application for Acceptance of Demand Side Management (DSM) Expenditures for 2017 (the Application)	Submission Date: October 5, 2016
Response to Industrial Customers Group (ICG) Information Request (IR) No. 1	Page 8

- 2
- 3

4

5

6

7

2.16 Please provide details of all such consultations that have occurred in the past year, including consultations regarding the increase to the Industrial incentive rate?

8 Response:

9 FBC's program manager met with approximately half of FBC's Industrial customers while gathering feedback on improved energy assessment offers. Those customers indicated that a 10 11 larger incentive would be welcomed to improve their internal business cases (i.e. reduce their 12 project payback period and externalize more of their project costs). They also requested 13 improved cash flow timing, i.e. a larger portion of the eligible incentive to be rendered at time of 14 project completion and less held back (and at risk) for Measurement and Verification of the 15 project savings. FBC will address this request in its proposed revisions of Rate Schedule 90 to 16 be filed in its Long Term DSM Plan (LT DSM Plan).

Additionally the BC Conservation Potential Review (CPR) has an overview process through its
Technical Advisory Committee (TAC), whose membership contains industrial customers,
including pulp & paper representation. The overview included scrutiny of the proposed
industrial measure list used in the BC CPR.

21 Please also refer to the response to ICG IR 1.2.9.



1 3. Reference: Exhibit B-1, Appendix B, p. 2, Table 1-1

- 2 3 4
- 3.1 Please explain the difference in the 2015 approve plan savings and the 2015 actual savings for the industrial sector, given actual spend exceeds the approved spend?

56 Response:

7 The 2015 actual costs included \$146,200 in incentives that are variable in nature, and the 8 remaining non-incentive costs included an allocation of labour for FBC technical advisors who 9 work with industrial customers to assist with project formulation, implementation and follow-up. 10 Because there is a long lead time between when industrial projects are formulated and the time 11 of completion when savings are counted, there were some costs incurred in 2015 that related to

12 laying the groundwork for industrial sector savings in future years.

13 The "lumpy" nature (i.e. large magnitude and infrequent occurrence) of industrial sector projects,

as described above, can lead to overspending in some years when costs are incurred that arerelated to projects that may be completed in future years.

- 4.0
- 16
- 17
- 18
- 193.2Please provide the Benefit/Cost ratio on a Total Resource Cost basis together20with aggregate "Savings" and "Cost" for the five year period from 2011 to 201521for each sector?
- 22

23 Response:

The Benefit/Cost (B/C) ratios on a Total Resource Cost (TRC) basis together with aggregate savings and costs for the five year period from 2011 to 2015 for each sector are provided in the

26 table below:

	Aggregate 2011 - 2015							
Program	Benefits (\$000s)	Costs (\$000s)	B/C Ratio					
Residential Total	37,934	23,065	1.6					
Commercial Total	38,152	21,688	1.8					
Industrial Total	4,164	3,112	1.3					
Portfolio Level Costs	0	2,940						
TOTAL DSM Portfolio	80,250	50,804	1.6					

27 28

_0



13.3Please confirm that the Benefit/Cost ratio on a Total Resource Cost basis as2shown in reasons accompanying Order G-186-14 at p. 25 is 5.7 for 2015, and the3comparable ratio for 2015 as shown in the 2015 DSM Annual Report is 2.2. If4confirmed, please provide in an excel model the calculation of the benefit/cost5ratios referred to above?

7 <u>Response:</u>

8 Not confirmed. The Industrial Efficiency TRC of 5.7 inadvertently omitted the customer portion of
9 cost and was corrected to 3.4 in FBC's response to BCUC IR 1.6.1.2 in the Commission
10 proceedings regarding FBC's 2015-16 DSM Plan.

- 11 The difference in these values is due to the assumptions used in the 2015-16 DSM Plan that
- 12 were based on the 2013 CPR Update, compared to actual program results.
- 13 Please refer to Attachment 3.3.
- 14

6

- 15
- 16
- 17 3.4 Please explain the key drivers of any trends in the Benefit/Cost ratios provided in
 18 the previous information request?
- 19
- 20 **Response:**

21 Plan B/C ratios are based on prototypical projects. Reported B/C ratios are an outcome of the

actual DSM projects that have been submitted and approved. Any trends in reported B/C ratiosare due to the changing mix of DSM projects.



Please explain why the above quoted direction is not included in the 2015 Annual

Response to Industrial Customers Group (ICG) Information Request (IR) No. 1

4. Reference: Exhibit B-1, Appendix B, p. 5, Table 1-2; and Order G-186-14 1

- 2 "... the Commission Panel directs FBC to include in its next DSM 3 Annual Report a review and discussion of whether opportunities 4 exist in expanding DSM funding to 2013 approved levels for 5 industrial customers while continuing to obtain cost-effective 6 energy savings." (emphasis in original)
- 8 9

7

Report, in particular why it is not included in Table 1-2?

10 Response:

4.1

- 11 FBC's response to Directive 15 in BCUC Order G-186-14, to which the above guoted direction
- 12 refers, was included in the Company's 2014 Annual DSM Report ending December 31, 2014.
- 13 FBC's response is reproduced below:
- 14 The 2015 approved DSM Plan expenditure and savings are \$7.3 million and 26.2
- 15 GWh, which moves towards the 2012 LTRP goals. The next long-term DSM Plan,
- 16 in the Company's LTERP due to be filed June 2016, will inform the DSM
- 17 expenditure filings for 2017 and subsequent years.⁴
- 18 and
- 19 The current Industrial Efficiency program offering, in the approved 2015-16 DSM
- 20 Plan, is generic enough to accommodate a wide range of customer projects, and
- 21 the spending rules include the ability to increase up to 25 percent of sector budget
- (or more with Commission approval), thus not limiting participation.⁵ 22
- 23 Because Directive 15 from the Commission was responded to in the 2014 Annual DSM Report, 24 there was no need to replicate those responses in the 2015 Annual DSM Report.
- 25 26 27 28 4.2 Please comment on whether FBC has complied with the above guoted direction? 29 If not, please explain why FBC has not complied with this direction? 30 31 Response: 32 Please refer to the response to ICG IR 1.4.1. 33

FBC Response to Directive 7, FBC Annual DSM Report ending December 31, 2014, Table 1-1, page 3.

FBC Response to Directive 15, FBC Annual DSM Report ending December 31, 2014, Table 1-1, page 3.



1	5. Re	eference:	Exhibit B-1, Appendix C, pp. 8-9
2 3 4			"These values have been updated since then in the Amended F2012 to F2014 Revenue Requirements Application Updated DSM Plan,8 the following assumptions were listed:
5 6 7	•	estimate	nsmission capacity: \$0 per kW-year (\$ F2011) based on BC Hydro because there are no bulk transmission capacity investments to be deferred by the Updated DSM Plan.
8 9 10	•	based or	transmission and substation capacity: \$11 per kW-year (\$ F2011) n BC Hydro estimate of the cost of the regional and substation costs avoided by the Updated DSM Plan.
11 12	•		on capacity: \$1 per kW-year (\$ F2011), based on BC Hydro estimates tribution capacity cost avoided by the updated DSM Plan."
13 14 15 16	5.2	differe differe	e explain why the values FBC used for deferred capital expenditures are so ont from the above numbers used by BC Hydro, and describe the nces between the two utilities that support such different values.
17	<u>Response</u>	<u>e:</u>	
18 19 20			esponses to CEC IRs 1.14.2 through 1.14.3 for an explanation of why the deferred capital expenditures differ from other WECC utilities, including BC
21 22			
23 24 25 26 27	5.2 <u>Respons</u> e	custor	e provide the forecast and actual load growth numbers, separated by ner class for both FBC and BC Hydro for 2014, 2015 and 2016.
20	The force	act and act	tual load arouth numbers congrated by sustamer close for both FPC and
28 29 30	BC Hydro	for 2014, 2	tual load growth numbers separated by customer class for both FBC and 2015 and 2016 are provided below. Please note that the BC Hydro forecast ken from the BC Hydro annual service plans, which do not provide the

31 actual or forecast load growth numbers for each class.



FortisBC Inc. (FBC or the Company) Application for Acceptance of Demand Side Management (DSM) Expenditures for 2017 (the Application)	Submission Date: October 5, 2016
Response to Industrial Customers Group (ICG) Information Request (IR) No. 1	Page 13

			FBC (C	GWh)			BC Hydro (GWh)						
	2014			2015 2016			2014 20			15	16		
	Forecast	Actual	Forecast	Actual	Forecast	Actual	Forecast	Actual	Forecast	Actual	Forecast	Actual	
Residential	126	22	-5	2	-49	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Commercial	104	167	-5	-13	60	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Industrial	134	90	-18	-1	22	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Wholesale	-354	-337	12	13	-6	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Irrigation	-1	0	-2	6	1	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Lighting	-1	3	1	0	1	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Net	8	-55	-17	7	29	N/A	-4,765	-3,994	-651	-1,805	5,221	N/A	
Losses	-32	-9	-3	3	4	N/A	128	385	-196	-1,371	235	N/A	
Gross	-24	-64	-20	10	33	N/A	-4.637	-3.609	-847	-3.194	5.456	N/A	

¹

- 2
- 3

- 4
- 5
- 6

5.3 Please describe the effect or absence of load growth on the value chosen for deferred capital expenditures used in the evaluation of the benefits of DSM.

7

8 Response:

9 Reduced or accelerated load growth would likely defer or accelerate, respectively, the timing of 10 the growth related infrastructure investments that are primary inputs to the Deferred Capital 11 Expenditure (DCE) value. Theoretically, the total absence of load growth could negate the need for such investments and drive the DCE value to zero. 12

13

14

15

16 5.4 Please describe the effect of lower distribution deferred capital expenditure 17 values on the relative DSM benefits associated with residential, commercial and industrial programs. 18

19

20 Response:

21 Generally the effect would reduce DSM benefits relatively evenly across all sectors. A notable

22 exception would be a transmission service industrial customer whose project benefits would not

23 be impacted by a change in the distribution value of the DCE.



6. Reference: Exhibit B-1, Appendix A, page A-16, Table A6-1

- 6.1 Please recalculate Table A6-1 using BC Hydro's values for deferred capital
 expenditures of \$11/kW-yr for transmission and \$1/kW-yr for distribution. Please
 provide the details of the specific calculations as an attachment in a spreadsheet
 format.
- 6

1

7 Response:

8 Please refer to the requested table below. The excel spreadsheet is included as Attachment 6.1.

Program/ Portfolio areas	Savings	Cost	Be	enefit/C	ost Tes	ts	Levelised Cost (\$/MWh)
	(MWh)	(\$000s)	TRC	υст	РСТ	RIM	
Residential							
Home Improvement	364	348	1.7	2.5	7.3	0.7	44.5
Heat Pumps	781	298	1.3	2.2	4.6	0.6	53.1
New Home	126	151	1.2	2.8	2.8	0.7	42.1
Lighting	2,735	190	2.1	20.3	2.8	0.8	5.6
Appliances	126	133	1.2	1.5	9.2	0.6	74.8
Water Heating	17	30	1.4	1.0	0.0	0.5	110.3
Low Income & Rentals	3,247	1,367	3.0	2.9	0	0.6	54.5
Behavioural	3,097	200	3.7	3.7	0	0.7	29.9
Subtotal	10,493	2,718	2.3	4.0	6.6	0.7	32.3
Commercial							
Com Lighting	10,592	2,322	1.8	3.1	4.9	0.9	37.9
Building Improvement	2,931	784	2.0	5.5	2.9	1.0	20.8
Irrigation	144	25	3.6	3.1	0	0.9	36.3
Subtotal	13,666	3,131	1.9	3.4	4.3	0.9	34.1
Industrial							
Industrial	1,556	309	1.9	5.1	2.6	1.1	22.0
Subtotal	1,556	309	1.9	5.1	2.6	1.1	22.0
Program Total	25,715	6,158	2.1	3.7	5.1	0.8	32.6
Portfolio							
Supporting Initiatives		674					
Planning & Evaluation		777					
Total (including Portfolio area)		7,610	1.8	2.8	3.6	0.7	43.8

9



4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

17.Reference:Exhibit B-1, Appendix B, pp. 1-2, Table A-1 (Appendix A to FBC 20152DSM Annual Report)

"Table 1-1 provides an overview of FBC's 2015 energy savings, expenditures and TRC cost- effectiveness test results for all DSM programs, by program, sector and at the portfolio level. The Company achieved an overall portfolio TRC of 2.0 on DSM expenditures of \$3.5 million and electricity savings totalling 12.6 GWh. The Company's spending levels were less than the approved levels for the reasons set out in Section 1.2 below. In accordance with British Columbia's Demand-Side Measures Regulation, additional detail, including results for the following cost effectiveness test calculations, are provided for the overall portfolio and each Program Area in Appendix A, Table A-1: TRC, Utility Cost Test (UCT), and the Ratepayer Impact Measure (RIM). "

- 7.1 Please recalculate Table A-1 using BC Hydro's values for deferred capital
 expenditures of \$11/kW-yr for transmission and \$1/kW-yr for distribution. Please
 provide the details of the specific calculations as an attachment in a spreadsheet
 format.
- 19

20 **Response:**

Table A-1 (Appendix A to FBC's 2015 DSM Annual Report), has been recalculated using BC Hydro's values for deferred capital expenditures of \$11/kW-yr for transmission and \$1/kW-yr for distribution as shown in the following table. The illustrative calculations for Residential Heat Pumps are shown in Attachment 7.1, which provides a spreadsheet and details the methodology used for all programs. The software application containing all of the calculations contains confidential information and therefore cannot be provided.



FortisBC Inc. (FBC or the Company) Application for Acceptance of Demand Side Management (DSM) Expenditures for 2017 (the Application)	Submission Date: October 5, 2016
Response to Industrial Customers Group (ICG) Information Request (IR) No. 1	Page 16

Table A-1: FBC DSM Summary Report for Year Ended December 31, 2015 Revised using \$12/kW-yr for DCE

	2015	2015			Neg			Benef	it/Cost ⊺	Fests	
Program Area	Approved Plan Savings (MWh)	Actual Energy Savings (MWh)	Lifetime savings (MWh) ²	Incentive Expenditure (\$000)	Non- Incentive Expenditure (\$000)	2015 Actual Spend (\$000s)	2015 Approved Plan (\$000s)	TRC	UCT	RIM	Levelized cost (¢/kWh)
Residential											
Home Improvement	3,106	231.2	6,326	62.0	136.8	198.7	884	1.6	1.6	0.7	7.1
Behavioural	888	0.0				-	85				
Watersavers	850	4.6	64	0.3	1.8	2.2	387	1.2	2.6	0.8	10.8
Appliances	288	51.9	865	23.3	47.7	71.0	96	0.8	1.0	0.6	17.9
Lighting	1,569	4,144.4	50,893	167.9	30.1	198.0	193	5.3	26.1	1.1	2.1
Heat Pumps	1,618	569.0	17,561	138.4	44.1	182.5	302	1.5	4.1	0.9	7.9
New Home Program	1,179	356.2	12,366	37.6	73.2	110.8	390	1.1	5.0	0.9	10.2
Low Income Housing	2,598	281.8	1,827	97.5	189.9	287.3	824	1.2	0.9	0.6	9.7
Residential Total	12,096	5,639.0	89,903	526.9	523.5	1,050.4	3,160	2.8	6.8	1.0	4.0
Commercial											
Lighting	7,445	4,089.3	71,188	404.4	331.0	735.4	1,485	1.9	5.5	0.9	6.0
Building Improvement	3,454	1,605.9	41,841	175.8	367.3	543.0	842	1.4	3.9	0.9	8.3
Computers	378	0.0		-	-	-	55				
Municipal (WWTP)	759	186.6	4,900	24.5	11.7	36.2	79	2.3	5.4	0.9	5.0
Irrigation	490	0.0		-	9.0	9.0	69				
Commercial Total	12,526	5,881.8	117,929	604.7	719.0	1,323.7	2,530	1.7	4.9	0.9	6.7
Industrial											
Industrial Efficiency	1,537	1,086.8	27,937	146.2	79.8	226.0	202	2.0	6.1	0.9	5.7
Industrial Total	1,537	1,086.8	27,937	146.2	79.8	226.0	202	2.0	6.1	0.9	5.7
Total Programs	26,159	12,607.6	235,769	1,277.8	1,322.3	2,600.1	5,892	2.1	5.8	0.9	5.3



FortisBC Inc. (FBC or the Company) Application for Acceptance of Demand Side Management (DSM) Expenditures for 2017 (the Application)	Submission Date: October 5, 2016
Response to Industrial Customers Group (ICG) Information Request (IR) No. 1	Page 17

Program Area	2015 2015			New			Benefit/Cost Tests				
	Approved Plan Savings (MWh)	Actual Energy Savings (MWh)	Lifetime savings (MWh) ²	Incentive Expenditure (\$000)	Non- Incentive Expenditure (\$000)	2015 Actual Spend (\$000s)	2015 Approved Plan (\$000s)	TRC	UCT	RIM	Levelized cost (¢/kWh)
Portfolio Level Activities											
Planning & Evaluation	-	-		-	584.9	584.9	725				
Supporting Initiatives	-	-		-	346.3	346.3	675				
Total Portfolio	26,159	12,607.6	235,769	1,277.8	2,253.5	3,531.3	7,292	1.9	4.2	0.9	6.0



8. Reference: Exhibit B-1, Appendix B, p. 16 1 2 "FBC commercial and industrial Technical Advisors increased the 3 number of site visits with industrial customers to promote the 4 overall program." 5 8.1 Please identify the number of industrial Technical Advisors employed by FBC, 6 and the number of site visits with industrial customers in 2014, 2015 and 2016. 7 8 Response:

9 FBC employs four Technical Advisors, three of whom are responsible for industrial key 10 accounts. FBC does not keep track of the number of individual site visits with its Industrial 11 customers. In 2016, FBC developed an internal DSM marketing plan in order to track the last 12 point of contact, schedule regular visits with FBC key accounts, and increase touch points 13 between FBC and its key accounts.

For Industrial accounts, FBC Technical Advisors generally visit customers with annual electric consumption exceeding 3 GWh/year at least once a year (often 2-3 times). FBC Technical Advisors generally visit customers with electric consumption between 1-3 GWh/year at least once every two years. Smaller Industrial customers are visited by FBC Technical Advisors or Energy Efficiency Advisors upon customer request.

In 2016, FBC began recording the last touch point with its larger key accounts. From January 1 to September 1, 2016, FBC Technical Advisors visited 59% of the Company's key Industrial accounts. FBC estimates that in 2014 and 2015, approximately 40% and 50% of key Industrial accounts were visited by FBC Technical Advisors, respectively.

Attachment 3.3

REFER TO LIVE SPREADSHEET MODEL

Provided in electronic format only

(accessible by opening the Attachments Tab in Adobe)

Attachment 6.1

REFER TO LIVE SPREADSHEET MODEL

Provided in electronic format only

(accessible by opening the Attachments Tab in Adobe)

Attachment 7.1

REFER TO LIVE SPREADSHEET MODEL

Provided in electronic format only

(accessible by opening the Attachments Tab in Adobe)