# FortisBC Energy Utilities 2012-2013 Revenue Requirements and Rates Application

Workshop May 18, 2011



# Workshop Agenda

Торіс	Presenter
Introduction	<b>SCOTT THOMSON</b> Executive Vice President, Finance, Regulatory & Energy Supply
Application Overview and Rates	DIANE ROY Director, Regulatory Affairs
System Safety and Integrity	JOE MAZZA Director, Resource Development FERENC PATAKI Director, Operations Engineering
Customer Service	Tom Loski Vice President, Customer Service
Energy Solutions	KEN ROSS Integrated Resource Planning Manager
Break	
<b>Energy Efficiency and Conservation</b>	<b>SARAH SMITH</b> Manager, Energy Efficiency and Conservation
Depreciation and Transfer Pricing	JAMES WONG Director, Finance & Planning
Cost of Service and Rate Base	MICHELLE CARMAN Manager, Cost of Service
Amalgamation and Next Steps	SCOTT THOMSON
Break	
Optional Session Financial Model Review	MICHELLE CARMAN



# 2012-2013 Revenue Requirements and Rates Application

### Overview

Diane Roy Director, Regulatory Affairs



## We are Seeking Approval For...

#### Cost of Service

- 2012 & 2013
- Mainland
- Vancouver Island
- Whistler
- Fort Nelson
- Amalgamated

#### **Delivery Rates**

- 2012 & 2013
- Mainland
- Whistler
- Fort Nelson

#### Rate Freeze

- 2012 & 2013
- Vancouver Island

This Application is the first step in our Rate Harmonization Strategy -Fall 2011 Application will seek the necessary approvals to amalgamate and to implement harmonized rates



## **Our Application...**

Is based on our commitment to provide safe, reliable, cost effective service Supports enhancements to our asset management and system integrity programs

Considers the changing energy needs of our customers and the communities we serve Allows us to continue to develop Energy Efficiency & Conservation programs

We have reflected these priorities in the costs and revenues included in our rate proposals



### **Overview of Burner Tip Rates**





### **Our Proposals for Delivery Rates...**

	2012		2013		Total	
	% Rate Change	% Annual Bill*	% Rate Change	% Annual Bill*	% Rate Change	% Annual Bill*
Mainland	5.0%	2.8%	6.4%	3.0%	11.4%	5.8%
Vancouver Island	- <		Rate F	reeze	```````````````````````````````````````	-
Whistler	2.2%	4.7%	11.9%	7.8%	14.1%	12.5%
Fort Nelson	6.5%	1.8%	1.6%	0.6%	8.2%	2.4%

\*Annual bill change includes the impact of Delivery Rate Riders



# **Our Priorities in this Application...**

- System safety and integrity
- The in-sourcing of key customer service functions
- Energy solutions for customers
- Enhanced Energy Efficiency and Conservation programs
- Continued review and updating of accounting and cost allocation policies
- Customer rate stability and rate harmonization



# **System Safety and Integrity**

Joe Mazza, Director Resource Development Ferenc Pataki, Director Operations Engineering



# **Sustainment Capital**

Joe Mazza Director Resource Development



### **UPGRADED in Low Pressure Replacement Project**







### **Key Messages**

- FortisBC Energy Utilities are using a long-term asset management strategy to plan the sustainment of its existing gas assets in providing safe, reliable, environmentally responsible, and economical gas delivery services to customers now and in future.
- We expect rising Sustainment Capital funding to meet the challenges from aging assets, increased public expectation and regulation on safety and reliability.



### **Key Factors Affect the Service Life of Assets**



### **Increase in Sustainment Capital – Key Drivers**



# Aging Infrastructure







# A Wave of Asset Replacement (Mains/Pipelines Example)





### Heightened Public Expectations and Increasing Regulation on Safety and Reliability

- 2010 PG&E San Bruno pipeline rupture heightened public awareness of pipeline safety
- CSA Standards for Integrity Management, Safety & Loss Management, Security
- Oil and Gas Activities Act

### **Enhancing our Asset Management Practices**





### **Upward Trend in Sustainment Capital**







# Additional funding is required to help ensure system safety and reliability

#### Incremental Capital

- Projects to manage aging infrastructure and asset risks
- To address wave of asset replacement

#### Incremental O&M

- Further enhancements to asset management practices to manage aging infrastructure and asset risks
- Resources for planning work
- Project feasibility assessments



# **BC One Call Project**

Ferenc Pataki Director Operations Engineering



# Vision of BCOneCall Operating Model



People use SAP, AMFM, DCRS & Teldig to assemble packages

- 1. SAP, AMFM, DCRS & Teldig assemble packages
- 2. People perform quality checks on packages



## Backdrop

**Drivers** 

Ticket Volume

Long-term Viability

Cost Effectiveness

#### **BC One Call Tickets/Year**





# Backdrop



\* O&M savings are projected to be realized 3 years after project initiation



### **Conflation – Existing Landbase & Facilities**





### **Conflation – Existing & New Landbase**





### **Conflation – New Landbase & Facilities**





# **Gas Assets Records Project**



# **Our Action and Plan**





# **Drivers**

- Regulation
  - CSA Z-662 Annex N & M (2007)
- Oil and Gas Commission Safety Advisory and Integrity Management Protocol (2011)

"the Commission reminds Pipeline Permit Holders that they must develop and maintain records..."

"The Commission recognizes that over time records may become damaged or lost..."

"the Commission expects that Permit Holders will have plans and programs in place for the management of their pipeline system in the absence of these records as well as programs for reestablishment of the records."

The Association of Professional Engineers and Geoscientists of British Columbia (APEGBC) (2011)

Retention of complete design and review files for their projects for a min. period of 10 yrs

Retention of complete project documentation which may include, but not limited to, correspondence, investigations, surveys, reports, data, background information, assessments, designs, specifications, field reviews, testing information, quality assurance documentation, and other engineering and geoscience documents for a minimum period of 10 years



# **Customer Service**

Tom Loski Vice President, Customer Service



# Customer Care Enhancement (CCE) Project

- CCE delivering new Customer Service organization and supporting technologies
- Progressing well against plan
  - Budget on track
  - Schedule in line to implement new organization and supporting systems as planned on January 1, 2012
- Customer Information System integration testing begins mid-May
- Large scale recruiting to begin this summer
  - Billing and contact centre representatives



# **CCE Project Benefits**

- New capabilities for customers
  - Additional contact channels
  - Broader self-serve transactional capability
  - Improved information capture and sharing
- Greater ability to respond to change
  - Direct ownership and management of customer interactions and supporting technologies
- Societal benefits for British Columbia
  - Additional employment opportunities in both Prince George and Burnaby locations



### **Customer Service O&M Requirements**

	2011	2012	2013	
	Approved	Forecast	Forecast	
Customer Service Total	\$62.7 million	\$60.8 million	\$64.7 million	

In-sourced activity O&M forecasts are lower than preliminary estimates of CCE CPCN.



## **Meter Reading**

- BC Hydro's Smart Meter project impacts joint gas/electric meter reading
  - Impact to FEU regardless of Customer Service delivery model
- Maintaining joint gas/electric manual read delivery in 2012
  - New agreements (BC Hydro and Accenture) bring stability during CCE implementation
  - Assumes BC Hydro's implementation by end 2012, joint reads decline as BC Hydro discontinues routes
- Alternatives for 2013 under evaluation
  - 2013 forecast based on 2012 contract values with standalone gas reads



## **Meeting Commitments to our Customers**

- Delivering added capabilities with the CCE Project
- Maintaining existing Service Quality Indicators
- Positioned to respond to changing customer needs with direct ownership of customer interactions and supporting technologies



# **New Energy Solutions for Customers**

Ken Ross Integrated Resource Planning Manager


# **Topics**

- Biomethane Service Offering
- Natural Gas Vehicle Fueling Services and Incentives
- Enhanced Long Range Planning, Forecasting, Research and Analysis



## **Optional Biomethane Service**

- Service offering / supply model approved by Order G-194-10
- Residential program launch: mid-June
- 10% of natural gas use
   ~ \$0.53/GJ premium (~\$4 / month)





#### Supply projects:

- Abbotsford agri-digester
   Commissioned 2010
  - Commissioned 2010
- Salmon Arm Landfill
  - Fall/winter 2011
- Others in various stages of planning



#### **Transportation Solutions for Fleets**

- Application currently before Commission
- RRA assumes approval granted
- Cost, revenue and demand expectations are based on continued incentive funding
- ① base load ↓ delivery rates



Forecast Summary	2011	2012	2013	
Fueling Sta	ations			
Estimated total number of stations	4	7	11	
(\$ thousands)				
Capital Costs	3,800	4,000	3,800	
Annual O&M		358	579	
Annual Contract Revenue	341	2,107	3,104	
Natural Gas Delivery				
Delivery Margin + Rate 16 Revenue	259	1,636	2,295	



## **Forecasting, Research and Planning Activities**

Rapidly changing planning environment

2015

- Evolving customer expectations
- Commission directives
- Stakeholder feedback



)50

# **Energy Efficiency and Conservation**

Sarah Smith Manager, Energy Efficiency and Conservation



## 2010 Conventional and Innovative Technology EEC Portfolio Results

Utility	Incentive Expenditure (\$000s)	Non- Incentive Expenditure (\$000s)	Total for Incentive and Non-Incentive Expenditures (\$000s)	Annual Energy Savings (GJ/yr)	NPV Energy Savings (GJ)	TRC
FEI	4,732	5,256	9,988	145,404	1,259,325	0.9
FEVI	727	1,022	1,749	20,706	149,185	1.1
Total	5,459	6,278	11,737	166,110	1,408,510	1.0

Utility	Incentive Expenditure (\$000s)	Non- Incentive Expenditure (\$000s)	Total for Incentive and Non-Incentive Expenditures (\$000s)	Annual Energy Savings (GJ/yr)	NPV Energy Savings (GJ)	TRC
FEI	5,816	5	5,821	(162,911)	(726,396)	1.3
FEVI	143	0	143	1,683	19,845	0.3
Total	5,959	5	5,964	(161,228)	(706,551)	1.2



## 2011 Planned Conventional and Innovative Technology EEC Portfolios

Utility	Incentive Expenditure (\$000s)	Non- Incentive Expenditure (\$000s)	Total for Incentive and Non-Incentive Expenditures (\$000s)	Annual Energy Savings (GJ/yr)	NPV Energy Savings (GJ)	TRC
FEI	7,772	11,262	19,034	222,383	2,053,338	0.7
FEVI	1,590	2,220	3,810	24,831	199,060	0.8
Total	9,362	13,482	22,844	247,214	2,252,398	0.7

Utility	Incentive Expenditures (\$000s)	Non- Incentive Expenditure (\$000s)	Total for Incentive and Non-Incentive Expenditures (\$000s)	Annual Energy Savings (GJ/yr)	NPV Energy Savings (GJ)	TRC
FEI	3,926	114	4,040	(225,989)	(1,350,618)	1.8
FEVI	5	10	15	61	718	0.2
Total	3,931	124	4,055	(225,928)	(1,349,900)	1.8



#### LTRP – Scenarios, Results and Customer Bill Savings





- 44 -

# Funding Proposal – 2012 and 2013

			2013
			Proposed
	2011 Budgets	2012 Proposed	Funding
	(\$000's)	Funding (\$000's)	(\$000's)
Previously Approved EEC Activity			
Conventional EEC Activity			
Residential	5,220	9,500	9,500
High Carbon Fuel Switching	1,510	2,000	2,000
Low Income	3,000	5,000	5,000
Commercial	14,532	14,500	14,500
Conservation Education and Outreach	3,538	5,000	5,000
Industrial	1,875	2,000	2,000
Subtotal - Conventional EEC Activity	29,675	38,000	38,000
Subtotal - Innovative Technologies	5,625	11,500	11,500
Subtotal - Previously Approved EEC Activity	35,300	49,500	49,500
New Initiatives 2012 & 2013			
Furnace Scrap-It program		10,000	10,000
Solar Thermal		4,000	4,000
TES for Schools		11,000	11,000
Subtotal - New Initiatives		25,000	25,000
Total Funding		74,500	74,500



### **Additional Items**

- \$20 million deferral allocation
  - 89% FEI, 10% FEVI, 1% FEW
- Inclusion of customers on FEW, Industrial customers on FEVI
- Societal test as primary test
  - Social discount rate of 3%
  - Biogas/efficiency adjusted cost of electricity as avoided cost of gas
  - Deemed adder of 30% for non-energy benefits



# **Depreciation and Transfer Pricing**

James Wong Director, Finance and Planning



## **Overview / Background**

- Sections include:
  - 5.4 Depreciation
    - References
      - Appendix E1 Gannett Fleming Depreciation Report
      - Appendix E2 Asset Retirement Obligation Report
  - 5.3.18 Corporate and Shared Services
- From 2010/11 Terasen Gas Revenue Requirement Commission decision:
  - To undertake an updated depreciation study
  - To address the methodology and rates for net negative salvage value to be included in cost of service



#### **Depreciation Study Update**



\* Due to change in depreciation rates

Composite Rate	FEI	FEVI	FEW
Proposed	3.1%	2.6%	2.4%
Existing	3.0%	2.6%	2.2%



#### **Negative Salvage**



- 2011 FEI Approved Removal Cost Provision \$11.3m
- Increase of \$4.9m in 2012 compared to 2011 for FEI



#### **Corporate and Shared Services**



\* Table 5.3-76 page 272 Annual Corporate Services to be Allocated from FHI

- Increase of approx. \$1.1m in 2012 due to inflation and loss of sundry income at Fortis Inc.
- Use cost allocation methodology as previously approved in 2010/2011 RRA



## **Corporate and Shared Services**

Shared Services Approach



**FEVI Shared Services** 

Applicable Cost Driver includes:

- Management estimate
- Headcount
- Customer count





# Forecast Cost of Service and Rate Base

Michelle Carman Manager, Cost of Service



### **Overview**





## Determination of Cost of Service and Revenue Surplus or Deficiency





#### At Existing Rates, Revenue Deficiencies Forecast

	Mainland		Vancouv	er Island
\$ Millions	2012	2013	2012	2013
Revenue Forecast	\$1,216.1	\$1,217.0	\$195.1	\$196.6
Less: Cost of Service	\$1,245.1	\$1,282.8	\$195.1	\$214.1
Revenue Deficiency	\$(29.0)	\$(65.8)	\$(0.0)	\$(17.4)
Incremental 2013 Deficiency		\$(36.8)		\$(17.4)

	Whistler		Fort Ne	elson
\$ Thousands	2012	2013	2012	2013
Revenue Forecast	\$11,209	\$11,094	\$4,774	\$4,846
Less: Cost of Service	\$11,381	\$12,173	\$4,896	\$5,001
Revenue Deficiency	\$(172)	\$(1,079)	\$(122)	\$(155)
Incremental 2013 Deficiency		\$(907)		\$(33)



#### **Forecast Demand**







#### Forecast Customer Additions and Residential Use per Customer

Customer Additions	2012	2013
Mainland	6,656	6,923
Vancouver Island	2,557	2,658
Whistler	19	19
Fort Nelson	22	24
Total	9,254	9,624

Residential Use Per Customer (GJ/Year)





## Mainland Revenue Deficiency Driven by Depreciation & Amortization





#### Vancouver Island: Royalty Revenues Offset by Decrease in Cost of Gas



- Customer Additions & Use Rate Changes Net O&M
- Depreciation & Amortization
- Other Revenue
   Cost of Gas
- Taxes, Earned Return & Misc.
- Revenues- Royalty & Surplus



## Whistler Deficiency Driven by Demand Changes and Amortization Expense





## Impact of Muskwa River Crossing Project Drives Deficiency in Fort Nelson





#### **Forecast Gross O&M Expense**





#### **Changes in Gross O&M**





#### **Gross O&M Expense Remains Stable**





#### **Forecast Rate Base**







## Base Capital Expenditures Total All Utilities





#### Mid Year Deferral Account Balances Total All Utilities





#### **Deferral Account Changes**

BCOneCall Project	<ul> <li>New account</li> <li>\$1.2 million in 2012 and \$0.9 million in 2013</li> </ul>
Records Management	<ul> <li>New account</li> <li>\$2 million in 2012 and \$2.3 million in 2013</li> </ul>
Customer Service Variance Account	New account
CNG and LNG Service Costs and Recoveries	<ul> <li>Include of variances from revenue forecast pertaining to Rate Schedule 16</li> </ul>



#### **Changes to the EEC Recovery Mechanism**







Mainland

■ Whistler

Vancouver Island

#### **Amalgamated Cost of Service**





# Next Steps and Regulatory Timetable


## The Future – An Amalgamated Utility and Harmonized Rates



\* Two Steps:

1) May 2011 Application achieves an amalgamated Cost of Service

2) Fall 2011 Phase A Rate Design achieves legal amalgamation and rate harmonization



## Regulatory Timetable for Review of Application

ACTION	DATE (2011)
Participant Assistance/Cost Award Budgets	Tuesday, May 31
Commission Information Request No. 1 to FEU	Thursday, June 2
Intervener Information Request No. 1 to FEU	Thursday, June 9
Procedural Conference (Timetable and Process – commencing at 9:00 am)	Wednesday June15
FEU Response to Information Requests No. 1	Thursday, June 30
Commission Information Request No. 2 to FEU	Thursday, July 21
Intervener Information Request No. 2 to FEU	Thursday, July 21
FEU Response to Information Requests No. 2	Friday, August 19
Negotiated Settlement Process or Hearing if Required (proposed date range)	Tuesday, September 6 to Friday, September 30
FEU Final Argument Submissions	Friday, October 7
Intervener Final Argument Submissions	Friday, October 21
FEU Reply Argument Submissions	Friday, November 4



## **Optional Session**

## **Financial Model Review**

Michelle Carman Manager, Cost of Service

