

**BRITISH COLUMBIA UTILITIES COMMISSION**

**IN THE MATTER OF THE UTILITIES COMMISSION ACT,  
R.S.B.C. 1996, Chapter 473**

**and**

**An Application by FortisBC Inc.  
for a Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity  
for the Benvoulin Substation Project**

Kelowna, B.C.  
October 28, 2008

---

**Procedural Conference**

---

**BEFORE:**

**L. A. O'Hara,**

**Chairperson**

**VOLUME 1**

## APPEARANCES

G.A. FULTON, Q.C.	Commission Counsel
R.J. McDONELL J. MARTIN D. SWANSON	FortisBC Inc.
D. RAMPONE	Rampone Farms
S. KHAN	B.C. Old Age Pensioners' Organization, B.C. (BCOAPO)
D. SWANZEY P. DILL	Friends of Mission Creek
J. HATCH	Tantalus Holdings Limited Partnership; Tantalus Vineyards

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26

**CAARS**

KELOWNA, B.C.

October 28, 2008

**(PROCEEDINGS COMMENCED AT 12:57 P.M.)**

THE CHAIRPERSON: Please be seated.

Good afternoon, ladies and gentlemen. My name is Liisa O'Hara. Welcome to this procedural conference to consider the regulatory process for the renewal of Benvoulin Substation project. FortisBC filed the application for a Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity for this project with the Commission on September 24<sup>th</sup>, 2008.

I'm certainly pleased to see you all here, and look forward to your constructive contribution and assistance, which will enable the Commission to reach its decision in an effective manner over, I hope, two to three months. We'll talk about that.

With me, representing Commission staff, is Brian Williston, director, engineering and energy marketing. Commission Counsel for this proceeding is Mr. Gordon Fulton, of Boughton Law Corporation. Mr. Fulton's primary responsibility is to keep us on track today and throughout the proceeding, both from the legal and procedural point of view. Our Hearing Officer is Mr. Hal Bemister, of Allwest Reporting Limited, and I believe Mr. Fulton already mentioned

1           that, as you see, the proceedings are being recorded  
2           and the transcript will be available later this week?  
3           Yes -- the answer is yes. And please feel to approach  
4           these gentlemen for assistance regarding any questions  
5           or concerns you may have, either today or later on.

6                         FortisBC is proposing the Benvoulin  
7           Substation project as the preferred solution to meet  
8           load growth and relieve distribution system capacity  
9           constraints here in the south central Kelowna area.  
10          FortisBC is seeking approval to construct a  
11          distribution source substation together with a  
12          transmission line, connected to the existing 130 kV 51  
13          line, and the necessary distribution facilities to tie  
14          the substation into the existing distribution network.

15                        The project has an estimated capital cost  
16          of approximately \$17.7 million. It is scheduled to  
17          commence in the first quarter of 2009, and to be  
18          completed by the end of 2010.

19                        As a result of its public consultation,  
20          FortisBC proposes to locate the substation at the  
21          gravel pit site along Casorso Road.

22                        By Order G-146-08, the Commission  
23          established a date for this procedural conference and  
24          ordered FortisBC to publish the notice of application  
25          and this procedural conference in local newspapers in  
26          the Kelowna area. As indicated in Order G-146-08, we

1 are here this afternoon to hear submissions on  
2 procedural matters, which will include but may not be  
3 limited to the following:

4 **Proceeding Time 1:01 p.m. T02**

5 Number one, identification of the principal  
6 issues arising from or related to the application.

7 Two, whether any of you intervenors intend  
8 to file evidence.

9 Three, whether the review should proceed by  
10 an oral or written public hearing, or some other  
11 process.

12 Four, if there is an oral hearing, the  
13 location for the hearing.

14 Five, whether there should be a community  
15 input session.

16 And finally six, steps and timetable  
17 associated with the regulatory review process. And  
18 other matters that will assist the Commission to  
19 efficiently review the application.

20 Today's program includes the following  
21 three components. First, you will be called by Mr.  
22 Fulton to enter your appearance. Second, at the  
23 Commission's request, FortisBC will briefly still  
24 outline this project in further detail. And third, we  
25 will hear submissions from all of you on procedural  
26 matters.





1 MS. HATCH: Jane Hatch, H-A-T-C-H, representing Tantalus  
2 Vineyards. We're a winery located at 1670 Dehart  
3 Road. We have some questions concerning positioning  
4 of the substation in the gravel pit. Just to preface  
5 this quickly, we're proposing building within the next  
6 two years a state-of-the-art winery at 1670 Dehart  
7 Road, and the winery is in relative proximity to the  
8 substation, so our concerns are more about the  
9 aesthetics than anything else. Positioning of the  
10 substation in the gravel pit, sight lines from Casorso  
11 Road, and whether or not those sight lines are  
12 unsightly and can impact our winery visitors.

13 The other question that I have is regarding  
14 power lines. I understand power lines traveling  
15 towards Benvoulin Road will be underground, but my  
16 question is, power lines heading towards Dehart Road,  
17 are there any additional power lines proposed, and how  
18 do you intend to install them, above ground or below  
19 ground. Thank you.

20 THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you, Ms. Hatch.

21 MR. FULTON: Is there anyone else present this afternoon  
22 who wishes to appear for the purposes of the record?

23 No respondents, Madam Chair. I'll turn the  
24 mike back to Mr. McDonell.

25 MR. McDONELL: Thank you, Mr. Fulton.

26 Commissioner O'Hara, I plan to give a brief



1 description, an overview of the application, and then  
2 perhaps make some introductory remarks in terms of  
3 procedure for today and for generally the disposition  
4 of the application.

5 **Proceeding Time 1:07 p.m. T05**

6 FortisBC's application to the Commission is  
7 for a Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity  
8 for a new substation to be known as the Benvoulin  
9 Substation, and there are related facilities to that  
10 substation, including a transmission tie and  
11 distribution feeders.

12 The continuing development in the Kelowna  
13 area gives rise to increased demands for capacity that  
14 presently existing facilities in the near future will  
15 not be able to meet those demands. New facilities are  
16 needed. Those new facilities are described in the  
17 application materials, and for the area of Kelowna  
18 under consideration in this application, FortisBC's  
19 solution is a new substation to be known as the  
20 Benvoulin Substation.

21 In the application, the particular works  
22 proposed within the scope of the project are defined,  
23 and they include the acquisition of approximately five  
24 acres of land for the substation site, a new 32  
25 megawatt transformer with related substation  
26 facilities, a short 138,000 volt transmission line to

1 and from the new substation for the transmission tie,  
2 distribution feeders from the substation, an  
3 additional underground duct for future feeders, all at  
4 an estimated cost for this project of approximately  
5 \$17 million.

6 Madam, Commissioner O'Hara, the company has  
7 conducted a very thorough public consultation process  
8 in regard to site selection for this application for  
9 the preferred site identified in the application.  
10 There have been three public meetings to obtain  
11 community input. Out of this process the company is  
12 confident that the most suitable site has been  
13 identified. The nature of intervention in this  
14 application, in my submission, reflects success in  
15 that pre-hearing, pre-application public process.  
16 There are intervenors in support of the preferred  
17 site. There are intervenors, as you've heard a moment  
18 ago, that have other questions -- questions, in my  
19 submission, that can be quite easily answered with  
20 information provided, and possibly, although given  
21 what we've heard this morning, an additional community  
22 input session which may or may not be necessary.  
23 Perhaps we could hear more about that today, because  
24 it's on the list of the procedural issues as to  
25 whether or not it's necessary. But at the moment, I  
26 don't hear any necessity for that, although the

1           company is prepared to have that if needed.

2                       So I would propose, Madam Chair, with that  
3           introduction, that we move to the issues, or the  
4           various matters identified to be dealt with at this  
5           hearing, including the identification and development  
6           of an issues list. And what I would propose is to  
7           identify a couple that the company acknowledges as  
8           arising on the application, and then perhaps we could  
9           hear further from the intervenors. Some have already  
10          identified the issues they wish to see move forward.

11                      Justification is the first issue I would  
12          suggest for the issues list. So firstly a  
13          justification. Second, alternative solutions.  
14          Thirdly, we heard from Ms. Khan about land acquisition  
15          and the status of that. It may be that with the  
16          provision of information she could request, that we  
17          could resolve any enquiries she has as to the status  
18          of land acquisition, but status of land acquisition is  
19          a possible issue for the hearing.

20                      One of the intervenors, Tantalus, also  
21          raised the issue of aesthetics, and so perhaps  
22          aesthetics is commonly an issue we see on an issues  
23          list, and that could also be on this list.

24                      Ms. Khan raised the issue of the economy  
25          and some of us watching the bouncing ball of the  
26          economy these days. In my submission that would fall

1       within justification for the project generally. I  
2       don't think we need an enquiry, not that she's  
3       proposing one, in terms of the -- perhaps there's  
4       other forums that are going to be reviewing the  
5       exciting times we're having with the economy, but I  
6       think that falls within justification and alternative  
7       solution, and all the factors that go into that part  
8       of the analysis.

9               So, all of that leads, I think, to the  
10       disposition of the application by way of a written  
11       hearing. And I strongly submit that this application  
12       should be disposed of by way of written hearing. I  
13       don't -- in my submission, there is nothing to be  
14       gained by the cost and time taken up in an oral  
15       hearing. It's just not warranted with the nature of  
16       the intervention and the questions that are going  
17       forward.

18               So those are my introductory comments.  
19       Perhaps after you hear from the other intervenors we  
20       could then talk about actually getting down to  
21       proposing scheduling for the exchange of written  
22       argument, if the Commission is disposed to have a  
23       written hearing. And I have some dates in mind, but  
24       those being my introductory comments, I'd suggest you  
25       maybe hear further from intervenors. I could then  
26       respond, and we could develop a schedule.

1 THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you, Mr. McDonell.

2 MR. McDONELL: Thank you.

3 **Proceeding Time 1:12 p.m. T06**

4 THE CHAIRPERSON: Now, Mr. Fulton, will you then please  
5 invite our intervenors to speak.

6 MR. FULTON: Yes. I'll just slide over there so that the  
7 intervenors can address you from this dais. And I'll  
8 begin by calling Rampone Farms.

9 And what I will do, Madam Chair, is -- you  
10 itemized the procedural matters that people are to  
11 address. Those procedural matters are found in Order  
12 G-146-08, so I'll leave the page of G-146-08 that has  
13 the bulleted matters for people, so that as they're  
14 going through the issues, they'll have that as a  
15 reference.

16 So, Mr. Rampone, if you'd like to come  
17 forward first.

18 MR. RAMPONE: Thank you very much. Being a long-time  
19 resident of Kelowna, a sixth-generation of farming,  
20 we're really concerned about this project. And I have  
21 to say that I was totally impressed with the B.C.  
22 Utilities Commission's procedural in this matter and  
23 Fortis, as the way they had the public hearings.

24 The one procedural issue I'd like to  
25 address is, I believe that if a hearing is necessary,  
26 written or oral, that it should be an oral one. There

1 is a lot of elderly farmers in the area that sometimes  
2 have a hard time expressing themselves written, and  
3 sometimes there is a few farmers in the immediate area  
4 that their English language is not all that great, but  
5 they can express themself quite good, and I would  
6 think a lot of the farmers I have spoken to would be  
7 in favour of the project in its location. But I think  
8 the oral hearing would be better for this application.

9 Thank you.

10 THE CHAIRPERSON: Perhaps, Mr. Fulton, you can help me  
11 and Mr. Rampone here regarding this example of some  
12 individuals who might prefer the oral hearing  
13 approach, but none of these individuals have  
14 registered either as intervenors or interested  
15 parties.

16 What you are suggesting, Mr. Rampone,  
17 sounds more like a community input session. Am I  
18 understanding correctly?

19 MR. RAMPONE: That could be possible. I was unsure of  
20 which one it should be.

21 THE CHAIRPERSON: Because whether it is written or oral,  
22 that's the more formal process, and I think you who  
23 are here today registered either as intervenors or  
24 interested parties, you are already part of this  
25 formal process. And the date for that participation  
26 is past. Am I --

1 MR. FULTON: Yes, it is.

2 THE CHAIRPERSON: -- Mr. Fulton, maybe you want to add to  
3 that.

4 MR. FULTON: And generally what happens, though, Madam  
5 Chair, if someone expresses an interest and comes  
6 forward at a later time, then it's up to the  
7 Commission Panel to determine whether late intervenor  
8 status would be granted.

9 I would think that, along the lines of a  
10 community input session, if the Commission is  
11 contemplating a community input session, the  
12 Commission would want to be satisfied that there were  
13 at least a minimum number of people who were going to  
14 attend the session, and that the Commission would then  
15 want to set a date in advance that provided there are,  
16 say, picking a number, ten people who indicate that  
17 they wish to make a presentation to the Commission, a  
18 community input session will be held on such-and-such  
19 a date. But if ten people do not advise the  
20 Commission by that date, then there would not be a  
21 community input session. That is an approach that was  
22 taken in the Interior to Lower Mainland CPCN  
23 application of BCTC. The number there, I think, was  
24 -- I can't remember, it was five or six. So --

25 THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you.

26 MR. FULTON: Okay. So that will then bring me to BCOAPO,

1 Ms. Khan.

2 **Proceeding Time 1:17 p.m. T07**

3 MS. KHAN: We are in support of a written proceeding for  
4 this application. In terms of the community input  
5 session, whether or not to have one, we support the  
6 process outlined by Mr. Fulton.

7 We are also in favour of the regulatory  
8 timetable set out by FortisBC at page 8 of their  
9 application. I'm not sure if that's the proposal that  
10 they're going to put forward today, but that's --  
11 we're in agreement with that, or something similar to  
12 that.

13 And I think that's -- those are all the  
14 comments that I have.

15 THE CHAIRPERSON: And, Ms. Khan, you mentioned before  
16 those two specific issues you have concerns with. Do  
17 you think the suggestions by Mr. McDonell would  
18 address them; i.e., you could ask questions in the  
19 Information Request process and --

20 MS. KHAN: Yes. Doing it by way of a written proceeding  
21 would be sufficient for us. Of course we will be  
22 addressing other issues as well. Those are just two  
23 issues that do stick out for us.

24 THE CHAIRPERSON: But that would be a good forum for  
25 dealing with them.

26 MS. KHAN: Yes.



1 THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you, Ms. Khan.

2 MS. KHAN: Thank you.

3 MR. FULTON: Friends of Mission Creek.

4 MR. DILL: Hello, I'm from Friends of Mission Creek,  
5 Peter Dill. We are at the bottom of the learning  
6 curve in understanding this process, all right? We  
7 did have a couple of concerns, but I think these can  
8 probably be clarified quite quickly. It was to do  
9 with pathway and construction, and potential pathways,  
10 and I'll just go through the three points that we  
11 wanted to mention.

12 One was, we are responsible for maintaining  
13 the greenway, and we wanted to get an understanding  
14 that, other than during construction, the greenway  
15 will work the way it normally works. In other words,  
16 it's open -- it's not going to obstruct the greenway.  
17 I understand it's going under the creek, so it  
18 probably will go under the greenway.

19 The second one relates to the Casorso  
20 wetland. At the present time there has been the  
21 introduction of a roundabout, and a little wetland has  
22 been trapped beside the roundabout. It's the vision  
23 of the Friends of Mission Creek that the small wetland  
24 and the major wetland would be joined by an open  
25 waterway, and we are wondering where the cable will  
26 lie. Will it be on the old Casorso road-bed, or will

1           it travel up to Swamp Road and then cross Swamp Road  
2           to go up Casorso? I guess I'm asking, is it going to  
3           be southwest of the roundabout or northeast of the  
4           roundabout? You can probably clarify that one easily.

5                         The last one was an interest in where the  
6           cable lies on the north side of Mission Creek, not for  
7           a concern about the cable, but one of the long-term  
8           visions that's been expressed by the city and also by  
9           the Friends of Mission Creek, that we might develop --  
10          of course, maintain the greenway along Mission Creek,  
11          but also introduce a greenway along Wilson Creek.  
12          What we're hoping for is a roundabout loop, so that  
13          walkers will be able to go from the greenway at  
14          Casorso area to the Wilson Creek greenway, and we're  
15          asking, would it be appropriate for us to have our  
16          path where -- on top of where you will put your cable?  
17          I believe it will be on the west side of Casorso.

18                        Those are the only three, I think, that I  
19          have. Thank you very much. If you have any questions  
20          for us --

21 THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you, Mr. Dill, and it sounds to  
22          me, process-wise, again, these are the type of  
23          questions and you should be able to put in writing to  
24          Fortis, and then Fortis will reply in writing to them.

25 MR. DILL: Okay, fine.

26 MR. McDONELL: Yes, I don't mean to -- if I could -- I

1 will speak to the representatives of the Friends of  
2 Mission Creek after we adjourn today.

3 THE CHAIRPERSON: All right.

4 MR. McDONELL: And see how that's most easily  
5 accomplished.

6 THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay, thank you.

7 MR. FULTON: Tantalus Holdings Limited Partnership,  
8 Tantalus Vineyards.

9 **Proceeding Time 1:22 p.m. T08**

10 MS. HATCH: Thank you. I believe our concerns can be  
11 adequately submitted in writing for this. But just to  
12 add on to that, what we are about to embark on is a  
13 significant capital investment, and what we're most  
14 interested in with Fortis is just to have some  
15 acknowledgement of the sensitivity. This is an  
16 important agri-tourism business that we're putting  
17 together with them; and as far as sight lines go, we  
18 are interested particularly in the strip of trees that  
19 runs along Casorso that currently shields the visual  
20 sight line between Casorso Road and to the gravel pit.  
21 And our basic request would be that, if need be, some  
22 landscape mitigation or problem-solving mechanism be  
23 put into place, again with sensitivity to the visitors  
24 that we expect in the thousands, that will be visiting  
25 us.

26 Thank you.

1 THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you, Ms. Hatch.

2 MR. FULTON: That, I believe, then concludes the  
3 submissions of the intervenors, Madam Chair.

4 THE CHAIRPERSON: So then back to you, Mr. McDonell.

5 MR. McDONELL: Yes, thank you, Commissioner O'Hara.

6 If I could just reply briefly to Mr.  
7 Rampone and Mr. Fulton's comments in regard to a  
8 community input session. I think we would adopt Mr.  
9 Fulton's process. That is, a community input session  
10 if necessary, if there is sufficient interest. And if  
11 there's not necessary or adequate registered interest  
12 or notification from the public that it is -- that  
13 community input session should be held, then it would  
14 be cancelled, but otherwise the company is prepared to  
15 have an additional community input session.

16 As I said, I will speak to representatives  
17 of Friends of Mission Creek further, and also the  
18 representatives of Tantalus, to see how their  
19 questions are best answered. Some may well be more  
20 appropriate for the Information Request, some could  
21 possibly be answered very quickly, and we'll try to do  
22 that.

23 So I don't have any other reply to what  
24 you've heard from the intervenors, Madam Chair. It  
25 seems to me there is substantial and general agreement  
26 that the application should be disposed of by way of a

1 written hearing, and that the schedule for proceeding  
2 towards the disposition of the application, as  
3 outlined in the application itself at page 8, is  
4 generally agreed.

5 THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay, let's go back to the page 8 here,  
6 briefly here then. And what that timetable proposes  
7 is that the additional questions that the Commission  
8 may have, and then the opportunity for intervenors to  
9 ask questions, would be November 5<sup>th</sup>.

10 MR. McDONELL: Yes.

11 THE CHAIRPERSON: And the responses by Fortis to these  
12 Information Requests would be November 26<sup>th</sup>. Then  
13 FortisBC final submission December 5<sup>th</sup>, intervenor  
14 final submission December 12<sup>th</sup>, and then Fortis reply  
15 December 19<sup>th</sup>.

16 MR. McDONELL: Yes.

17 THE CHAIRPERSON: Now, if there is interest in this  
18 community input session, where would you see it  
19 fitting in this timetable, Mr. McDonell?

20 MR. McDONELL: Could I have one moment, please?

21 I would propose -- my initial proposition  
22 is November 20<sup>th</sup>. However, if it's thought that the  
23 input session should not be held in the midst of the  
24 IR process, then we propose before -- some time during  
25 the week of December 1<sup>st</sup>, then.

26 THE CHAIRPERSON: December. And that would certainly

1 give you sufficient time for public notice, then.

2 MR. McDONELL: Yes, yes.

3 THE CHAIRPERSON: For it, yes.

4 MR. McDONELL: I think that works. I think it fits.

5 THE CHAIRPERSON: So, early December.

6 MR. McDONELL: Yes.

7 THE CHAIRPERSON: And probably it might be better to have  
8 it after the responses to the Information Requests  
9 have been completed.

10 MR. McDONELL: I understand.

11 THE CHAIRPERSON: Yes, so people would be better  
12 equipped, then, to --

13 MR. McDONELL: The issues are identified and the  
14 responses are received.

15 THE CHAIRPERSON: Yes. That's right.

16 All right. Is there anything else  
17 regarding the timetable then?

18 MR. McDONELL: I have nothing else, thank you. No, thank  
19 you.

20 MR. FULTON: Madam Chair, may I make a submission in  
21 terms of the proposed schedule? And it relates to the  
22 timing of the delivery of the IRs from IR number 2  
23 from the staff, and IR number 1 from the intervenors.  
24 And I would like to see that extended for another  
25 week, until November the 12<sup>th</sup>, because we're at the 28<sup>th</sup>  
26 today. The November 5<sup>th</sup> date might just be too tight a

1 timeline for that, and given what we've heard today,  
2 that two-week period for Fortis to reply should be  
3 sufficient time, and I would suspect that if they're  
4 struggling with the number of questions, then they  
5 will be able to come back to the Commission and ask  
6 for an extension if it was necessary.

7 So, that is a suggested amendment to the  
8 timing for the delivery of the IRs. And then in terms  
9 of the community input session, probably there should  
10 be a date picked one week before the date proposed for  
11 the community input session to have a fixed number, a  
12 minimum number of people responding, failing which the  
13 community input session will be cancelled.

14 THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you, Mr. Fulton. Mr. McDonell,  
15 how would this amendment fit Fortis's plans?

16 MR. McDONELL: Happy to accommodate Mr. Fulton on his  
17 proposed amendment to extend the date for the  
18 information responses to -- was it the 5<sup>th</sup> to the 12<sup>th</sup>?  
19 Yes.

20 THE CHAIRPERSON: Twelfth. From fifth to twelfth, yes.

21 MR. McDONELL: That's fine. And the dates for -- also a  
22 good suggestion on the -- to set a date by which an  
23 adequate number of parties must register and show  
24 sufficient interest. I would suggest either the  
25 Tuesday, December the 2<sup>nd</sup>, or the prior -- is that too  
26 late?

1 THE CHAIRPERSON: Let's now first fix the tentative date,  
2 then, for the community input session, and then  
3 backtrack from that.

4 MR. McDONELL: Okay, I'm sorry. I thought we had. All  
5 right.

6 THE CHAIRPERSON: I think we talked about December 1<sup>st</sup>.

7 MR. McDONELL: So if the session is December 2<sup>nd</sup>,  
8 tentatively --

9 THE CHAIRPERSON: Right.

10 MR. McDONELL: -- then the date for registration should  
11 be November 25<sup>th</sup>, I would suggest.

12 THE CHAIRPERSON: That sounds about right.

13 MR. FULTON: Thank you. And just to make to sure the  
14 record is clear, my friend referred to information  
15 responses for the 12<sup>th</sup>, but I think he intended  
16 Information Requests by the 12<sup>th</sup>.

17 THE CHAIRPERSON: Requests be made.

18 MR. McDONELL: Indeed I did.

19 THE CHAIRPERSON: Yes.

20 MR. FULTON: Thank you.

21 THE CHAIRPERSON: And so the requests are due on November  
22 12<sup>th</sup>, and the Fortis responses are still due by  
23 November 26<sup>th</sup>.

24 MR. McDONELL: Yes, Madam Chair.

25 THE CHAIRPERSON: And then the registration for the  
26 potential community input session, the deadline for



1           that would be November 25<sup>th</sup>.

2 MR. McDONELL:    Yes.

3 THE CHAIRPERSON:   And prior to that, Fortis would still  
4           run a notice in local papers for that.

5 MR. McDONELL:    Yes, absolutely.   Yes.

6 THE CHAIRPERSON:   All right, then.  Is there anything  
7           else that we should still address?

8                    I don't think so.  Mr. Fulton, I think  
9           everything we have addressed there?

10 MR. FULTON:    Yes, I have nothing further, Madam Chair,  
11           thank you.

12 THE CHAIRPERSON:   Okay.  Thank you.  So I believe, then,  
13           that -- short and sweet.

14                    That brings us to the conclusion of this  
15           afternoon's gathering, and in addition to Mr. Fulton  
16           assuring me, I'll just ask once more, I want to make  
17           -- ensure that there are no matters that I have  
18           missed, and that should be addressed>

19                    Hearing none, then I conclude this  
20           procedural conference.  Thank you, everybody, very  
21           much for attending, and moving this agenda forward.  
22           Thank you.

23 MR. McDONELL:    Thank you.

24           **(PROCEEDINGS ADJOURNED AT 1:32 P.M.)**

25

26