

Diane Roy

Director, Regulatory Services

Gas Regulatory Affairs Correspondence Email: gas.regulatory.affairs@fortisbc.com

Electric Regulatory Affairs Correspondence Email: <u>electricity.regulatory.affairs@fortisbc.com</u> **FortisBC**

16705 Fraser Highway Surrey, B.C. V4N 0E8 Tel: (604) 576-7349 Cell: (604) 908-2790 Fax: (604) 576-7074

Email: diane.roy@fortisbc.com

www.fortisbc.com

July 6, 2016

British Columbia Public Interest Advocacy Centre Suite 208 – 1090 West Pender Street Vancouver, B.C. V6E 2N7

Attention: Ms. Tannis Braithwaite, Executive Director

Dear Ms. Braithwaite:

Re: FortisBC Inc. (FBC)

Project No. 3698875

Application for the Net Metering Program Tariff Update (the Application)

Response to the British Columbia Public Interest Advocacy Centre, representing the British Columbia Old Age Pensioners' Organization, Active Support Against Poverty, Disability Alliance BC, Council of Senior Citizens' Organizations of BC, Together Against Poverty Society, and the Tenant Resource and Advisory Centre, et al (BCOAPO) Information Request (IR) No. 1

On April 15, 2016, FBC filed the Application referenced above. In accordance with Commission Order G-94-16 setting out the Amended Regulatory Timetable for the review of the Application, FBC respectfully submits the attached response to BCOAPO IR No. 1.

If further information is required, please contact Corey Sinclair, Manager, Regulatory Services at 250-469-8038.

Sincerely,

FORTISBC INC.

Original signed:

Diane Roy

Attachments

cc: Commission Secretary Registered Parties



FortisBC Inc. (FBC or the Company) Net Metering (NM) Program Tariff Update Application (the Application)	Submission Date: July 6, 2016
Response to the British Columbia Public Interest Advocacy Centre, representing the British Columbia Old Age Pensioners' Organization, Active Support Against Poverty, Disability Alliance BC, Council of Senior Citizens' Organizations of BC, Together Against Poverty Society, and the Tenant Resource and Advisory Centre (BCOAPO) Information Request (IR) No. 1	Page 1

1	1.0	Refere	nce: Exhibit B-1, page 2
2		Pream	ble: The Application states that "the Company is also using the Application
3			process to provide notice to residential customers that the billing
4			practice in use for Net Metering since the Residential Conservation Rate
5			(RCR) was implemented will be updated"
6		1.1	Has FortisBC sent a copy of the Application to each residential net metering
7			customer?
8			
9	Respo	onse:	

The Company has provided notification of the filing of the Application to each customer, has indicated the locations online where the Application can be viewed, and offered to send a hard copy of the Application upon request.



FortisBC Inc. (FBC or the Company) Net Metering (NM) Program Tariff Update Application (the Application)	Submission Date: July 6, 2016
Response to the British Columbia Public Interest Advocacy Centre, representing the British Columbia Old Age Pensioners' Organization, Active Support Against Poverty, Disability Alliance BC, Council of Senior Citizens' Organizations of BC, Together Against Poverty Society, and the Tenant Resource and Advisory Centre (BCOAPO) Information Request (IR) No. 1	Page 2

1 2.0 Reference: Exhibit B-1, pages 3

2.1 What is the "provincial policy consideration" that the current FBC Net Metering Tariff sought to address?

4 5 Response:

6 Please refer to the response to BCUC IR 1.3.2.2.

7

2



FortisBC Inc. (FBC or the Company) Net Metering (NM) Program Tariff Update Application (the Application)	Submission Date: July 6, 2016
Response to the British Columbia Public Interest Advocacy Centre, representing the British Columbia Old Age Pensioners' Organization, Active Support Against Poverty, Disability Alliance BC, Council of Senior Citizens' Organizations of BC, Together Against Poverty Society, and the Tenant Resource and Advisory Centre (BCOAPO) Information Request (IR) No. 1	Page 3

Exhibit B-1, page 3 (lines 27-29) and page 4 (lines 2-6) 1 3.0 Reference:

2 Exhibit A2-1, page 5

3 Preamble: Exhibit A2-1 indicates that as of the March 2011 Report there were four 4

net metering installations all of which required net energy deliveries.

3.1 For each of the calendar years 2011 up to 2015 please indicate: i) the total number of net metering customers, ii) the number with net energy deliveries for the year and iii) for each of those with a positive NEG at the end of the calendar year the kWh involved.

9 10 Response:

5

6

7

- 11 For the count of total number of net metering customers please refer to the response to BCUC
- 12 IR 1.2.1.
- 13 FBC has compiled billing data for the last 3 years as required by the directives in Order G-59-
- 14 16. Data for the period prior is not readily available. In responding to the balance of this
- 15 request, FBC has looked to the available data and provides the summary below.
- 16 Note that in order to provide calendar year data that is meaningful, in that a full year of net-
- 17 consumption and net-generation are required in order to give results that show a complete
- 18 profile for a customer, only 2014 and 2015 can be included and only where a customer was on
- 19 the net metering program for the full year.

Rate Code	Description	# of Accounts with Number Net Energy Deliveries cription of in calendar Year / Accounts # of Accounts Possible*		Individua	NEG kWh	
			2014	2015	2014	2015
RS01	Residential RCR	67	4/10	4/29	1. 1.168 2. 118,342 3. 250 4. 5,606	1. 1.589 2. 106,122 3. 1,510 4. 5,322
GS20	Small Commercial	15	1/7	1/11	35,752	46,731
RS03	Residential Exempt	2	0/0	0/1		
GS21	Commercial	2	0/2	0/2		
T2ARB	Residential TOU	1	1/1	1/1	6,400	3,302
IR60	Irrigation	1	0/0	0/0		
	Total Accounts	88	31	9	40	16



FortisBC Inc. (FBC or the Company) Net Metering (NM) Program Tariff Update Application (the Application)	Submission Date: July 6, 2016
Response to the British Columbia Public Interest Advocacy Centre, representing the British Columbia Old Age Pensioners' Organization, Active Support Against Poverty, Disability Alliance BC, Council of Senior Citizens' Organizations of BC, Together Against Poverty Society, and the Tenant Resource and Advisory Centre (BCOAPO) Information Request (IR) No. 1	Page 4

* For example, in 2014 there were 10 RS01 net metering accounts that were active for the entire year. Of these, 4 had net energy deliveries to FBC over the calendar year.

3.2 Under the current Schedule 95 (provision #5) FortisBC has the discretion of purchasing the NEG at the end of the calendar year or including it in the billing calculation for the next period. For the period 2011 through 2015 what has been FortisBC's actual practice in dealing with NEG balances at the end of the calendar year.

Response:

Accounts with credit balances have been refunded upon the request of the individual customer.



FortisBC Inc. (FBC or the Company) Net Metering (NM) Program Tariff Update Application (the Application)	Submission Date: July 6, 2016
Response to the British Columbia Public Interest Advocacy Centre, representing the British Columbia Old Age Pensioners' Organization, Active Support Against Poverty, Disability Alliance BC, Council of Senior Citizens' Organizations of BC, Together Against Poverty Society, and the Tenant Resource and Advisory Centre (BCOAPO)	Page 5

1	4.0	Refere	ence:	Exhibit B-1, page 7 (lines 32-34)
2 3 4		Pream		The Application states "What will be disallowed under the Net Metering Tariff is generation sized to routinely exceed a customer's annual requirements, which is counter to the intent of the Program".
5 6 7 8		4.1	Intercor	FortisBC currently reject Net Metering Applications and/or Net Metering nection Agreements on the grounds that the generation is sized to meet an the customer's annual requirements?
9	Respo	onse:		
10 11 12 13 14	intent and n persist	of the pot to extent unu	orogram xport ex used ann	actice to ensure that applications for the Net Metering Program meet the and are sized to offset some or all of a customer's annual consumption, cess generation to the Company. Installations that are sized to have real net excess generation will need to be amended or the installation will net metering program.
15 16				
17 18 19 20	Respo	onse:	4.1.1	If so, how is this determination made?
21	Please	e refer to	the res	ponse to BCUC IR 1.5.1.
22 23				
24 25 26 27	Respo	onse:	4.1.2	To date, how many applications have been rejected?
28 29 30	Applic	ants, the	e Compa	ot rejected a submitted Application. Through discussion with prospective any has required that the size of a planned installation be reduced prior to ubmitted.



FortisBC Inc. (FBC or the Company) Net Metering (NM) Program Tariff Update Application (the Application)	Submission Date: July 6, 2016
Response to the British Columbia Public Interest Advocacy Centre, representing the British Columbia Old Age Pensioners' Organization, Active Support Against Poverty, Disability Alliance BC, Council of Senior Citizens' Organizations of BC, Together Against Poverty Society, and the Tenant Resource and Advisory Centre (BCOAPO) Information Request (IR) No. 1	Page 6

4.2 Going forward how does FortisBC plan on "disallowing" Net Metering in instances where the generation is sized to routinely exceed a customer's annual requirements? What criteria will be used in making this determination?

Response:

The current Application does not to seek any changes to the eligibility criteria as it currently exists. Going forward, the Company will maintain its current practice as described in the responses to BCOAPO IRs 1.4.1 and 1.4.1.1.



FortisBC Inc. (FBC or the Company) Net Metering (NM) Program Tariff Update Application (the Application)	Submission Date: July 6, 2016
Response to the British Columbia Public Interest Advocacy Centre, representing the British Columbia Old Age Pensioners' Organization, Active Support Against Poverty, Disability Alliance BC, Council of Senior Citizens' Organizations of BC, Together Against Poverty Society, and the Tenant Resource and Advisory Centre (BCOAPO) Information Request (IR) No. 1	Page 7

1	5.0	Reference	e: Exhibit B-1, page 7 (lines 18-23)
2			Exhibit B-1, page 8 (lines 17-19)
3 4 5		Preamble	The Application states: "The program should not encourage customers to generate electricity in an amount greater than their own needs by paying for excess energy at artificially high prices".
6 7 8 9	Respon	hig	ease explain why the prices under the RCR are considered to be artificially h.

The prices currently paid to customers with Net Excess Generation within a billing period are in excess of the cost at which the Company could otherwise procure the power. FBC is not seeking to change the retail-based value of a customer's generation that is consumed on site, and in fact in some cases the implementation of a kWh bank may be beneficial to NM customers. However, for generation that is surplus to a customer's needs on an annual basis, the Company should not pay more than the rate it pays to independent power producers.

16 17

18

19

10

11

12

13

14

15

5.2 Does this mean that regular Residential customers billed under the RCR are paying "artificially high prices"? If not, why not?

20 21 22

23

24

25

Response:

No. The retail rates paid by non-net-metering customers (not just those billed under the RCR tariff) are based on the cost of providing service as approved by the Commission on an annual basis and after appropriate examination.



FortisBC Inc. (FBC or the Company) Net Metering (NM) Program Tariff Update Application (the Application)	Submission Date: July 6, 2016
Response to the British Columbia Public Interest Advocacy Centre, representing the British Columbia Old Age Pensioners' Organization, Active Support Against Poverty, Disability Alliance BC, Council of Senior Citizens' Organizations of BC, Together Against Poverty Society, and the Tenant Resource and Advisory Centre (BCOAPO) Information Request (IR) No. 1	Page 8

6.0 Reference: Exhibit B-1, page 11 (lines 4-8)

6.1 The Application states that use of the BC Hydro RS3808 Tranche 1 rate is "consistent with the approach used for other ad-hoc deliveries to the FBC system". Please indicate in what other situations the BC Hydro RS3808 rate is used.

Response:

Please refer to the response to BCUC IR 1.9.1.

Are there situations where FortisBC uses a rate other than the RS3808 Tranche 1 rate to value ad-hoc deliveries to its system? If yes, what are they and what rate is used?

Response:

In general, the RS3808 Tranche 1 rate represents a cap on what FBC will pay for ad-hoc deliveries to the FBC system. The rate paid is the lower of the BC Hydro 3808 Tranche 1 energy rate as of January 1 in that calendar year, or the Intercontinental Exchange (ICE) Mid-C day-ahead index price less 2 mils, using the heavy load index for the heavy load hours and the light load index for the light load hours. However, if the ICE Mid-C day-ahead index price is less than 2 mils, then the rate paid is zero. In cases where it is not practical to track the hourly deliveries, just the RS3808 Tranche 1 rate is used.



33

FortisBC Inc. (FBC or the Company) Net Metering (NM) Program Tariff Update Application (the Application)	Submission Date: July 6, 2016
Response to the British Columbia Public Interest Advocacy Centre, representing the British Columbia Old Age Pensioners' Organization, Active Support Against Poverty, Disability Alliance BC, Council of Senior Citizens' Organizations of BC, Together Against Poverty Society, and the Tenant Resource and Advisory Centre (BCOAPO) Information Request (IR) No. 1	Page 9

1	7.0	Refere	ence:	Exhibit B-1, page 11 (lines 26-29)
2 3 4		Pream	nble:	The Application states that for "the 25 Residential participants between February 2015 and February 2016 all but two would have received lower billings had the recommended changes been in place".
5 6 7	Respo	7.1 onse:	Of the	25, how many had positive NEG over the 12 months?
8	One.			
9 10				
11 12 13 14		7.2		he reference to "recommended changes" include just the two changes sed in Section 5.2 or also the changes discussed in Section 6?
15	Respo	nse:		
16 17 18 19	period have b	using t	he curre led to th	ed at the annual sum of the amounts billed to the customer in each billing interpretation, contrasted with the sum of the amounts that would be customer in each billing period using the preferred billing interpretation
20 21				
22 23 24 25 26			7.2.1	If the lower billings are the result of changes as outlined in both Sections, how many customers would have lower billings just as result of the Section 5.2 changes?
27	Respo	nse:		
28 29		•		2 (the use of a kWh Bank and annual payout for unused NEG at the 3808 mented in isolation from the S. 6 change to the billing interpretation.
30 31	•			ng interpretation, the net-consumption and net-generation are considered lication of the RCR threshold of 1,600 kWh.

Any billing methodology that banks excess generation for use as an offset to consumption in a

future period would result in that future consumption not being billed independent of generation.



FortisBC Inc. (FBC or the Company) Net Metering (NM) Program Tariff Update Application (the Application)	Submission Date: July 6, 2016
Response to the British Columbia Public Interest Advocacy Centre, representing the British Columbia Old Age Pensioners' Organization, Active Support Against Poverty, Disability Alliance BC, Council of Senior Citizens' Organizations of BC, Together Against Poverty Society, and the Tenant Resource and Advisory Centre (BCOAPO) Information Request (IR) No. 1	Page 10

 7.2.2 How many had lower billings specifically due to: i) change to the carry forward of kWh (per page 10 lines 23-27), ii) the change in the payment for NEG at year end (per page 10, lines 27-29) and iii) the combined effect of two changes?

Response:

The billing comparison only considered the sum of the individual billing period billing using a kWh bank to carry forward unused generation to offset consumption in a future period. Annual payouts were not considered as only a single account had a balance of kWh in the bank at the end of the year in question. This account was identified as one of the two that were worse off with the proposed changes.



FortisBC Inc. (FBC or the Company) Net Metering (NM) Program Tariff Update Application (the Application)	Submission Date: July 6, 2016
Response to the British Columbia Public Interest Advocacy Centre, representing the British Columbia Old Age Pensioners' Organization, Active Support Against Poverty, Disability Alliance BC, Council of Senior Citizens' Organizations of BC, Together Against Poverty Society, and the Tenant Resource and Advisory Centre (BCOAPO) Information Request (IR) No. 1	Page 11

1 8.0 Reference: Exhibit B-1, Appendix B

8.1 Which of the two interpretations has FortisBC been applying to date?

Response:

The Company has been billing customers according to the methodology described in example iv in Appendix B to the Application and does not currently employ a kWh Bank.

7 8

2

3 4

5 6

9

Please provide examples of the billing under each of the interpretations where kWhs received by the customer are 1,200 and kWhs delivered to FortisBC are 4,000.

12 13 14

22

11

Response:

- 15 The response is below using rates consistent with those used in Appendix B of the Application.
- 16 FBC considers that this is for a single billing period and not representive of all billing periods
- 17 over a 12 month period.
- 18 In Table 2 below, the preferred billing interpretation is shown as a standalone item without the
- 19 use of a kWh Bank. Under the preferred billing interpretation, including the implementation of a
- 20 kWh Bank, this customer would put 2,800 kWhs into the bank during this period rather than
- 21 receiving the bill credit.

Table 1: Current Billing Interpretation

		kWh	Rate	Value (\$)
Register 1: Total kWh delivered to FBC during the billing period.	4,000			
Tier 1		1,600	9.562¢ per kWh	(152.99)
Tier 2		2,400	14.761¢ per kWh	(354.26)
Subtotal				(507.25)
Register 2: Total kWh received by customer during the billing period.	1,200			
Tier 1		1,200	9.562¢ per kWh	114.74
Subtotal				114.74
Total amount credited to customer				(392.51)



FortisBC Inc. (FBC or the Company) Net Metering (NM) Program Tariff Update Application (the Application)	Submission Date: July 6, 2016
Net wetering (NW) Frogram Famili Operate Application (the Application)	3dly 0, 2010
Response to the British Columbia Public Interest Advocacy Centre, representing the British Columbia Old Age Pensioners' Organization, Active Support Against Poverty, Disability Alliance BC, Council of Senior Citizens' Organizations of BC, Together Against Poverty Society, and the Tenant Resource and Advisory Centre (BCOAPO) Information Request (IR) No. 1	Page 12

Table 2: Preferred Billing Interpretation

	kWh	Rate	Value (\$)
Register 1: Total kWh delivered to FBC during the billing period.	4,000		
Register 2: Total kWh received by customer during the billing period.	1,200		
Net Delivery to Customer	(2,800)		
kWh Billed/(Credited) to customer under Tier 1	(1,600)	9.562¢ per kWh	(152.99)
kWh Billed/(Credited) to customer under Tier 2	(1,200)	14.761¢ per kWh	(177.13)
Total amount credited to customer			(330.12)