

Diane Roy

Director, Regulatory Services

Gas Regulatory Affairs Correspondence Email: gas.regulatory.affairs@fortisbc.com

Electric Regulatory Affairs Correspondence Email: <u>electricity.regulatory.affairs@fortisbc.com</u> **FortisBC**

16705 Fraser Highway Surrey, B.C. V4N 0E8 Tel: (604) 576-7349 Cell: (604) 908-2790 Fax: (604) 576-7074

Email: diane.roy@fortisbc.com

www.fortisbc.com

October 13, 2015

Via Email
Original via Mail

Mr. Norman Gabana 3850 Dogwood Drive Trail, B.C. V1R 2V5

Dear Mr. Gabana

Re: FortisBC Inc. (FBC)

Multi-Year Performance Based Ratemaking Plan for 2014 through 2019 approved by British Columbia Utilities Commission (Commission) Order G-139-14 (the PBR Plan) – Annual Review for 2016 Rates (the Application)

Response to Mr. Norman Gabana's Information Request (IR) No. 1

On September 11, 2015, FBC filed the Application referenced above. In accordance with Commission Order G-139-15 setting out the Regulatory Timetable for the review of the Application, FBC respectfully submits the attached response to Mr. Gabana's IR No. 1.

Due to a small number of updates to the forecasts in the Application, FBC will be filing an Evidentiary Update prior to the Annual Review Workshop. The Evidentiary Update will include the items listed below:

- Update to incorporate the forecast 2016 reduction in property taxes (see response to BCUC IR 1.16.3);
- Update to the balance in the Capacity and Energy Purchase and Sale Agreement with Powerex Corp. Application deferred account (see response to BCUC IR 1.21.3); and
- Update to 2015 and 2016 revenue to give effect to certain determinations of the Commission in the Stage IV Decision regarding Celgar's Stand-by Billing Demand (Order G-14-15).

October 13, 2015 British Columbia Utilities Commission FBC PBR Annual Review for 2016 Rates – Response to Gabana IR No. 1 Page 2



If further information is required, please contact Joyce Martin at 250-368-0319.

Sincerely,

FORTISBC INC.

Original signed by: Joyce Martin

For: Diane Roy

Attachments

cc: Commission Secretary Registered Parties (email only)



Submission Date: October 13, 2015

Response to Norm Gabana (Gabana) Information Request (IR) No. 1

Page 1

1. Fortis commented at the last SQI review on generation efficiency. Was the efficiency number on the upgraded units only or on the total number of units on the Kootenay River. If it was on the total number, please show the number of running hours of each of the units not upgraded, for the time of the answer.

4 5 6

1

2

3

Response:

- 7 The Generator Forced Outage rate is an informational indicator which measures the percent of
- 8 time a generating unit is removed from service due to component failure or other events. All of
- 9 FBC's generating units are included in the measure.
- 10 The operating hours from 2000 to 2014 for each of the generating units which has not been
- 11 upgraded is shown below.

Unit	Hours (2000-2014)
UBO Unit 1	24,984
UBO Unit 2	51,165
UBO Unit 3	25,831
UBO Unit 4	27,320

12

14

13

15 16

2. Provide total amount of money Fortis derived in each of the last three years from the risk investment benefit Fortis was granted.

17 18 19

Response:

- 20 FBC understands the "risk investment benefit" to mean the risk premium of 40 basis points over
- 21 the benchmark utility that is included in FBC's allowed return on equity (ROE). The table below
- 22 shows the portion of FBC's approved ROE that is attributable to the risk premium for the last
- 23 three years.

2012	0.40%	1,780
2013	0.40%	1,926
2014	0.40%	1.926

24

25



Submission Date: October 13, 2015

Response to Norm Gabana (Gabana) Information Request (IR) No. 1

Page 2

1

Provide total amount of bonuses Fortis paid employees in each of the last three years.

3 4

Response:

Please refer to the following table for the amount of short-term incentive pay FBC paid to its employees, excluding executives, in the last three years.

7

Short-term Incentive Pay (\$ millions)

2012	2013	2014
\$2.063	\$2.141	\$2.054

8

10 11

4. In recent years Fortis discussed dealing with hot tap problems throughout the system. Has Fortis made any progress in dealing with this problem.

12 13 14

Response:

15 FBC has continued with its stirrup replacement program every year.

16 17

18 19

4.1 If so please provide the approximate number of failures and a brief description of the accomplishments.

202122

23

24

25

Response:

FBC has seen substantial benefit from this program and the number of failures associated has dropped significantly from the inception of the program. There has only been one documented failure in the last two years.

2627

28 29

5. Provide the amount of revenue Fortis has received per megawatt of power sold in each of the last four years to the retail customers.



Submission Date: October 13, 2015

Response to Norm Gabana (Gabana) Information Request (IR) No. 1

Page 3

1 Response:

2 Please refer to the table below.

	2010 Actual	2011 Actual	2012 Actual	2013 Actual	2014 Actual
Sales Revenue (\$000s)	246,791	277,090	282,943	308,532	317,330
Sales (MWh)	3,046,201	3,143,051	3,144,454	3,210,671	3,179,700
Averages Sales Revenue (\$/MWh)	0.08102	0.08816	0.08999	0.09609	0.09979

Provide total amount of revenue Fortis derived from the step two rate that was

Provide total revenue Fortis has received from the sale of surplus power generated from

4

3

5 6

7

implemented from the RIB decision in each of the last two years.

6.

8 9 10

Response:

FBC does not track revenue from the individual rate blocks separately. However, analysis done in order to complete the 2013 and 2014 RCR Reports to the Commission has shown that approximately 40% of consumption occurs in the second tier. Given this, revenue from the second tier of the Residential Conservation Rate is estimated to be approximately \$70 million in 2014 and approximately \$60 million in 2013.

16

17 18

2122

19 7.20

Fortis owned facilities in each of the last two years.

Response:

The following table shows the total revenue FBC has received from the sale of surplus power from the FBC owned facilities in each of the last two years.

	2013	2014
Surplus Revenue (\$ millions)	\$ 0.259	\$ 0.320

2526



Submission Date: October 13, 2015

Response to Norm Gabana (Gabana) Information Request (IR) No. 1

Page 4

1

8. Provide total number of megawatts sold in each of the last two years.

employee that were locked in that time period.

3 4

Response:

5 Please refer to the response to Gabana IR 1.5.

6 7

8

9 9. 10 11

12 13

Response:

14 The labour dispute referred to in this question occurred between June 26, 2013 and December

Fortis recently locked out hourly paid employees for approximately six months. If there had not been a lockout, how many employee hours would have been paid to all the

Provide total amount of power generated from Fortis owned facilities on the Kootenay

- 15 20, 2013 and has been discussed at length in both the 2014 PBR Application proceeding and in
- 16 the Annual Review for 2015 Rates. The question is not relevant to this Application, therefore
- 17 FBC respectfully declines to respond.

18 19

20 21

River in each of the last three years.

10.

222324

Response:

The following table shows the amount of power generation from each of FBC's owned facilities on the Kootenay River in each of the last three years.

(MWh)	2012	2013	2014
Lower Bonnington	267,314	271,153	293,742
Upper Bonnington	267,408	240,532	285,277
South Slocan	274,984	275,065	305,546
Corra Linn	219,253	219,036	260,994
Total FBC Owned Generation	1,028,959	1,005,786	1,145,559

27

28

29

However, as a result of the Canal Plant Agreement, FBC received an entitlement of energy from these facilities, and not the actual physical generation from the plant. The following table shows



Submission Date: October 13, 2015

Response to Norm Gabana (Gabana) Information Request (IR) No. 1

Page 5

1 the amount of entitlement FBC received from FBC's owned facilities on the Kootenay River in 2 each of last three years.

(MWh)	2012	2013	2014
Total FBC Entitlement Use	1,530,769	1,566,234	1,569,264

Provide method and source of money to cover the costs for smart meter installation.

Provide status of smart meter program, % complete----completion date---problems

encountered---estimated of final cost----% completed in house----and % by contractors.

4 5

3

6

7

8

9

Response:

11.

- 10 FBC's rate base, which will include the AMI project costs upon completion, is financed using a
- 11 capital structure of 60.0 percent debt and 40.0 percent shareholder equity, as approved by
- 12 Order G-47-14.

13

14

15

16 12. 17

18 19

Response:

- 20 Please refer to the responses to BCUC IR 1.11.1 and CEC IR 1.19.5.
- 21 There have been no significant problems encountered during the AMI project to date.
- 22 FBC does not have an estimate of the proportion of the project carried out by contractors versus
- 23 internal staff. The complex nature of the project has required contractor involvement in almost
- 24 all aspects of the job at the same time that FBC is involved in all aspects of contractor work.
- 25 The majority of residential meters were exchanged by a contractor.